LPHE-MS-1507

MSSM-like from $SU_5 \times D_4$ Models

R.Ahl Laamara[1,2], M. Miskaoui[1,2], E.H Saidi[2,3*]

1. LPHE-Modeling and Simulations, Faculty Of Sciences, Mohamed V University, Rabat, Morocco

2. Centre of Physics and Mathematics, CPM- Morocco

3. International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Miramare, Trieste, Italy

April 7, 2016

arXiv:1604.01669v1 [hep-th] 6 Apr 2016

Abstract

Using finite discrete group characters and symmetry breaking by hyperflux as well as constraints on top- quark family, we study minimal low energy effective theory following from $\mathrm{SU}_5 \times D_4$ models embedded in F-theory with non abelian flux. Matter curves spectrum of the models is obtained from $\mathrm{SU}_5 \times S_5$ theory with monodromy S_5 by performing two breakings; first from symmetric group S_5 to S_4 subsymmetry; and next to dihedral D_4 subgroup. As a consequence, and depending on the ways of decomposing triplets of S_4, we end with three types of D_4- models. Explicit constructions of these theories are given and a MSSM- like spectrum is derived.

Key words: F-GUT models with discrete symmetries, Characters of discrete groups, $SU_5 \times D_4$ models; MSSM like.

1 Introduction

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in building $SU_5 \times \Gamma$ GUT models, with discrete symmetries Γ, embedded in Calabi-Yau compactification of F-theory down to 4d space time [1]-[11]; and in looking for low energy minimal prototypes with broken monodromies [12]-[19]. This class of supersymmetric GUTs with discrete groups lead to quasi-realistic field spectrum having quark and lepton mass matrices with properties fitting with MSSM requirements. In the geometric engineering of these F-GUTs, splitting spectral cover method together with Galois theory tools are used to generate appropriate matter curves spectrum [20]-[25]; and a *geometric* Z_2 parity has been also introduced to

*e-mail: h-saidi@fsr.ac.ma

suppress unwanted effects such as exotic couplings and undesired proton decay operators [26, 27, 28, 29].

In this paper, we develop another manner to deal with monodromy of F-GUT that is different from the one proposed first in [18], and further explored in [27, 30, 31], where matter curves of the same orbit of monodromy are identified. In our approach, we use the non abelian flux conjecture of [15, 16] to think of monodromy group of F- theory SU_5 models as a non abelian flavor symmetry Γ. Non trivial irreducible representations of the non abelian discrete group Γ are used to host the three generations of fundamental matter; a feature that opens a window to build semi-realistic models with matter curves distinguished from each other in accord with mass hierarchy and mixing neutrino physics [32, 33, 34].

In this work, we study the family of supersymmetric $SU_5 \times \Gamma_p \times U(1)^{5-p}$ models in the framework of F-theory GUT; with *non abelian* monodromies Γ_p contained in the permutation group $\mathbb{S}_5$ [30]-[42]; and analyse the realisation of low energy constraints under which one can generate an effective field spectrum that resembles to MSSM. A list of main constraints leading to a good low energy spectrum are described in section 5; it requires amongst others a tree- level Yukawa coupling for top-quark family. To realise this condition with non abelian Γ_p, we consider the case where Γ_p is given by the order *8* dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_4$; this particular non abelian discrete symmetry has representations which allow more flexibility in accommodating matter generations. Recall that the non abelian alternating $\mathbb{A}_4$ group has no irreducible doublet as shown on the character relation $12 = 3^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$; and the irreducible representation of non abelian $\mathbb{S}_4$ and $\mathbb{S}_3$, which can be respectively read from $24 = 3^2 + 3^2 + 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$ and $6 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$, have a doublet and two singlets. The non abelian dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_4$ however has representations $\boldsymbol{R}_i$ with dimensions, that can be read from $8 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$, seemingly more attractable phenomenologically; it has *5* irreducible $\boldsymbol{R}_i$'s; four singlets, indexed by their basis characters as $\mathbf{1}_{++}$, $\mathbf{1}_{+-}$, $\mathbf{1}_{-+}$, $\mathbf{1}_{--}$; and an irreducible doublet $\mathbf{2}_{00}$; offering therefore several pictures to accommodate the three generations of matter of the electroweak theory; in particular more freedom in accommodating top quark family.

To deal with the engineering of $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$- models, we develop a *new method* based on finite discrete group characters $\chi_{\boldsymbol{R}_i}$; avoiding as a consequence the complexity of Galois theory approach. The latter is useful to study F- theory models with the dihedral $\mathbb{D}_4$ and the alternating $\mathbb{A}_4$ subgroups of $\mathbb{S}_4$ as they are not directly reached by the standard splitting spectral cover method; they are obtained in Galois theory by putting constraints on the discriminant of underlying spectral covers; and introducing other monodromy invariant of the covers such a resolvent [14, 15, 29].

To derive the $\mathbb{D}_4$- matter curves spectrum in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$- models, we think of it in terms

of a two steps descent from $\mathbb{S}_5$- theory; a first descent down to $\mathbb{S}_4$; and a second one to $\mathbb{D}_4$ by turning on appropriate flux that will be explicitly described in this work; see also appendix C. By studying all scenarios of breaking the triplets $\mathbb{S}_4$- theory in terms of irreducible $\mathbb{D}_4$- representations, we end with three kinds of $\mathbb{D}_4$- models; one having a field spectrum involving all $\mathbb{D}_4$- representations including doublet $\mathbf{2}_{00}$ (*model I*); the second theory (*model II*) has no doublet $\mathbf{2}_{00}$ nor the singlet $\mathbf{1}_{--}$; and the third model has no $\mathbf{2}_{00}$; but does have $\mathbf{1}_{--}$. We have studied the curves spectrum of the three $\mathbb{D}_4$-models; and we have found that only model III allows a tree level 3-couplings and exhibits phenomenologically interesting features.

The presentation is as follows: In section 2, we study the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_5$ model; and describes the picture of the two steps breaking $\mathbb{S}_5 \to \mathbb{S}_4 \to \mathbb{S}_3$ by using standard methods. In section 3, we introduce our method; and we revisit the construction of the $\mathbb{S}_4$- and $\mathbb{S}_3$-models from the view of discrete group characters. In section 4, we use character group method to build three $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ models. In section 5, we solve basic conditions for deriving MSSM- like spectrum from $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ models. In section 6, we conclude and make discussions. Last section is devoted to three appendices: In appendix A, we give relations regarding group characters. In appendix B, we report details on other results obtained in this study; and in appendix C we exhibit the link between non abelian monodromies and flavor symmetry.

2 Spectral Covers in $SU_5 \times \Gamma$ models

In F-GUT models with SU_5 gauge symmetry, matter curves carry quantum numbers in $SU_5 \times SU_5^\perp$ bi-representations following from the breaking of E_8 as given below

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{248} & \to & (\mathbf{24},\mathbf{1}_\perp) \oplus (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{24}_\perp) \oplus \\ & & (\mathbf{10},\mathbf{5}_\perp) \oplus \left(\overline{\mathbf{10}},\bar{\mathbf{5}}_\perp\right) \oplus \\ & & (\bar{\mathbf{5}},\mathbf{10}_\perp) \oplus \left(\mathbf{5},\overline{\mathbf{10}}_\perp\right) \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

In this SU_5 theory, the perpendicular $SU_5^\perp$ is restricted to its Cartan-Weyl subsymmetry $\left(U_1^\perp\right)^4$, see appendix C for some explicit details; and the matter content of the model is labeled by five weights t_i like

$$\mathbf{10}_{t_i}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{10}}_{-t_i}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{5}}_{t_i+t_j}, \quad \mathbf{5}_{-t_i-t_j}, \quad \mathbf{1}_{t_i-t_j} \tag{2.2}$$

with traceless condition

$$t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

The components of the five 10-plets $\mathbf{10}_{t_i}$ and those of the ten 5-plets $\bar{\mathbf{5}}_{t_i+t_j}$ are related to each other by monodromy symmetries Γ; offering a framework of approaching GUT

- models with discrete symmetries originating from geometric properties of the elliptic Calabi-Yau fourfold $CY4$ which, naively, can be thought of as given by the 4- dim complex space

$$CY4 \sim E \times \mathcal{B}_3 \tag{2.4}$$

In this fibration, the complex 3- dim base $\mathcal{B}_3$ contains the complex GUT surface $\mathcal{S}_{GUT}$ wrapped by 7-brane; and the complex elliptic curve E fiber is as follows

$$y^2 = x^3 + b_5 xy + b_4 x^2 z + b_3 y z^2 + b_2 x z^3 + b_0 z^5 \tag{2.5}$$

where the homology classes $[x]$, $[y]$, $[z]$ and $[b_k]$; associated with the holomorphic sections x, y, z and b_k, are expressed in terms of the Chern class $c_1 = c_1(\mathcal{S}_{GUT})$ of the tangent bundle of the $\mathcal{S}_{GUT}$ surface; and the Chern class $-t$ of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}_{GUT}|\mathcal{B}_3}$ like

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} [y] & = & 3(c_1 - t) & , & [z] & = & -t \\ [x] & = & 2(c_1 - t) & , & [b_k] & = & (6c_1 - t) - kc_1 \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

2.1 Matter curves in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_5$ model

Matter curves of $SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-k} \times \Gamma_k$ models live on GUT surface $\mathcal{S}_{GUT}$ with monodromy symmetries Γ_k contained in $\mathbb{S}_5$, the Weyl group of $SU_5^{\perp}$; see eq(9.8) of appendix C. In the case of $\Gamma_5 = \mathbb{S}_5$; these curves organise into reducible multiplets[1] of $\mathbb{S}_5$ with the following characteristic properties

matters curves	weights	$\mathbb{S}_5$ repres	homology classes	holomorphic sections
10_{t_i}	t_i	5	$\eta - 5c_1$	$b_5 = b_0 \prod_{i=1}^{5} t_i$
$\bar{5}_{t_i+t_j}$	$t_i + t_j$	10	$\eta' - 10c_1$	$d_{10} = d_0 \prod_{j>i=1}^{5} T_{ij}$
$1_{t_i-t_j}$	$t_i - t_j$	20	$\eta'' - 20c_1$	$g_{20} = g_0 \prod_{i\neq j=1}^{5} S_{ij}$

(2.7)

where the t_i' s as above; $T_{ij} = t_i + t_j$ with $i < j$; and $S_{ij} = t_i - t_j$ with $i \neq j$. These t_i's, T_{ij}'s; and S_{ij}'s are respectively interpreted as the simple zeros of the spectral covers $\mathcal{C}_5 = 0$ describing ten-plets, $\mathcal{C}_{10} = 0$ describing five-pelts and $\mathcal{C}_{20} = 0$ for flavon singlets

[1] An equivalent spectrum can be also given by using irreducible representations of $\mathbb{S}_5$ and their characters; to fix ideas see the analogous $\mathbb{S}_4$- and $\mathbb{S}_3$- models studied in section 3.

[45]-[50]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_5 &= b_0 \prod_{i=1}^{5} (s - t_i) \qquad \equiv \quad b_0 \prod_{i=1}^{5} s_i \\
\mathcal{C}_{10} &= d_0 \prod_{j>i=1}^{5} (s - T_{ij}) \quad \equiv \quad d_0 \prod_{j>i=1}^{5} s_{ij} \\
\mathcal{C}_{20} &= g_0 \prod_{i\neq j}^{5} (s - S_{ij}) \qquad \equiv \quad g_0 \prod_{i\neq j}^{5} s'_{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

The homology classes of the complex curves in (2.7) are nicely obtained by defining the spectral covers in terms of the usual holomorphic sections; for the 5-sheeted covering of $\mathcal{S}_{GUT}$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_5 = b_0 s^5 + b_1 s^4 + b_2 s^3 + b_3 s^2 + b_4 s + b_5 = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

with $b_1 = 0$ due to traceless condition; and homology classes of the complex holomorphic sections b_k as follows

holomorphic sections	homology classes
s	$-c_1$
b_k	$\eta - kc_1$

(2.10)

with canonical homology class η given by

$$\eta = 6c_1 - t \tag{2.11}$$

with c_1 and $-t$ as in eqs(2.6). From these relations, the homology class $[10_{t_i}] = [\mathcal{C}_5|_{s=0}]$ is given by $[b_5]$; by using $b_5 = b_0 \prod_{i=1}^{5} t_i$, we have $[b_5] = \eta - 5c_1$ in agreement with (2.6). For the 10-sheeted covering, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{10} = \sum_{k=0}^{10} d_k s^{10-k} \tag{2.12}$$

and leads to the homology class $[d_{10}] = \eta' - 10c_1$ where, due to $d_0 = b_0^3$, the class η' can be related to the canonical η of the 5- sheeted cover like 3η. Similar relation can be written down for singlets

$$\mathcal{C}_{20} = \sum_{k=0}^{20} g_k s^{20-k} \tag{2.13}$$

leading to $[g_{20}] = \eta'' - 20c_1$ with the property $\eta'' = 9\eta$.
For later use, we consider together with (2.7) the so called geometric Z_2 parity of [19];

but as approached in [14, 15] in dealing with local models. For simplicity, we use a short way to introduce this parity by requiring, up to an overall phase, invariance of $\mathcal{C}_5 = 0, \mathcal{C}_{10} = 0, \mathcal{C}_{20} = 0$ under the following transformations along the spectral fiber; see [14, 15, 16] for explicit details,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} s_i' & = & e^{-i\phi} s_i \\ b_k' & = & e^{i[\beta+(5-k)\phi]} b_k \\ d_k' & = & e^{i[\gamma+(10-k)\phi]} d_k \\ g_k' & = & e^{i[\delta+(20-k)\phi]} g_k \end{array} \tag{2.14}$$

Under this phase change, the spectral covers eqns transform like

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{C}_5' & = & e^{i\beta} \mathcal{C}_5 \\ \mathcal{C}_{10}' & = & e^{i\gamma} \mathcal{C}_{10} \\ \mathcal{C}_{20}' & = & e^{i\delta} \mathcal{C}_{20} \end{array} \tag{2.15}$$

Focussing on 10-plets, and equating above $\mathcal{C}_5'$ with the one deduced from construction of [16] namely $\mathcal{C}_5' = e^{i(\zeta-\phi)}\mathcal{C}_5$; we learn that we should have $\beta = \zeta - \phi$; and therefore $b_k' = e^{i[\zeta+(k-6)\phi]} b_k$. For the particular choice $\phi = \pi$, we have $s_i' = -s_i$ and

$$b_k' = (-)^k \, e^{i\zeta} b_k \tag{2.16}$$

If we put $\zeta = 0$, we get $(b_0', b_5') = (+b_0, -b_5)$; while by taking $\zeta = \pi$, we have $(b_0', b_5') = (-b_0, +b_5)$; below we set $\zeta = \pi$. To get the parity of the holomorphic sections d_k and g_k of eqs (2.8), we use their relationships with the b_k coefficients. By help of the relations $d_{10} = b_3^2 b_4 - b_2 b_3 b_5 + b_0 b_5^2$ and $g_{20} = 256 b_4^5 b_0^4 + ...$, it follows that $Z_2(d_{10}) \sim Z_2(b_3^2 b_4)$ and $Z_2(g_{20}) = Z_2(b_4^5 b_0^4)$; so we have [27, 30, 31]

$$\begin{array}{lll} Z_2(d_{10}) = -1 \quad , & Z_2(g_{20}) = -1 \quad , & Z_2(b_5) = +1 \\ Z_2(d_0) = -1 \quad , & Z_2(g_0) = -1 \quad , & Z_2(b_0) = -1 \end{array} \tag{2.17}$$

in agreement with the homology class properties $\eta' = 3\eta$ and $\eta'' = 9\eta$.

2.2 Models with broken $\mathbb{S}_5$

To engineer matter curves with monodromy $\Gamma_k \subset \mathbb{S}_5$; we generally use spectral cover splitting method combined with constraints inspired from Galois theory [14, 15, 16, 26, 27]. In this study, we develop a new method without need of the involved tools of Galois group theory; our approach uses characters $\chi_{\mathbf{R}}(g)$ of discrete group representations; and relies directly the roots of the spectral covers. To illustrate the method; but also for later use, we first study the two interesting cases by using the standard method:

- $\Gamma_4 = \mathbb{S}_4 \subset \mathbb{S}_5$,
- $\Gamma_3 = \mathbb{S}_3 \subset \mathbb{S}_5$.

The case $\Gamma_4 = \mathbb{D}_4$ requires more tools; it will be studied later after revisiting $\mathbb{S}_4$- and $\mathbb{S}_3$-models from the view of characters of their representations.

2.2.1 $\mathbb{S}_4$- model in standard approach

To engineer the breaking of $\mathbb{S}_5$ down to $\mathbb{S}_4$, we proceed as follows: First, we use $\mathbb{S}_5$-invariance to rewrite the holomorphic polynomial $\mathcal{C}_5$ like

$$\mathcal{C}_5 = \frac{b_0}{5!} \sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \prod_{i=1}^{5} \left(s - t_{\sigma(i)}\right) \tag{2.18}$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{C}_{10}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{20}$. To break $\mathbb{S}_5$ down to $\mathbb{S}_4$, we impose a condition fixing one of the weight [51]; for example

$$\sigma\left(t_5\right) = t_5 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \sigma\left(5\right) = 5 \tag{2.19}$$

This requirement breaks $\mathbb{S}_5$ down to one of the five possible $\mathbb{S}_4$ subgroups living inside $\mathbb{S}_5$; and leads to the following features:
(**a**) the traceless condition (2.3) of the orthogonal $SU_5^{\perp}$ is solved as $t_5 = -\left(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4\right)$; it is manifestly $\mathbb{S}_4$- invariant. To deal with this t_5 weight, we shall think about the breaking of $\mathbb{S}_5$ down to $\mathbb{S}_4$ in terms of the descent of the symmetry $SU_5 \times U\left(1\right)^{5-k} \times \Gamma_k$ from k=5 to k=4 as follows [58, 59]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SU_5 \times U\left(1\right)^{5-5} \times \mathbb{S}_5 & \rightarrow & SU_5 \times U\left(1\right)^{5-4} \times \mathbb{S}_4 \\ & \sim & SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U\left(1\right) \end{array} \tag{2.20}$$

(**b**) the spectral covers $\mathcal{C}_5$ and $\mathcal{C}_{10}$ split as the product of two factors: ($\boldsymbol{\alpha}$) the spectral cover $\mathcal{C}_5$ factorises like $\mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$ with

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = A_0 \prod_{i=1}^{4} \left(s - t_i\right) \qquad , \qquad \mathcal{C}_1 = a_0 \left(s - t_5\right) \tag{2.21}$$

and[2]

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b_0 & = & A_0 \times a_0 \\ b_5 & = & A_4 \times a_1 \end{array} \tag{2.22}$$

together with the transformations following from (2.14-2.15). Notice that the above factorisations put conditions on the field $\mathcal{K}$ where live the holomorphic sections; a feature

[2] The holomorphic sections A_l and a_m eqs(2.21) are directly derived by expanding the factorised forms of the spectral covers $\mathcal{C}_4$ and $\mathcal{C}_1$; we will not give these details here; for example the relevant A_4 and a_1 are given by $A_4 = A_0 \prod_{i=1}^{4} t_i$ and $a_1 = -a_0 t_5$.

that is also predicted by Galois theory [28, 29]. As a naive illustration, we use the comparison with arithmetics in the set of integers $\mathcal{Z}$; an integer number like 6 can be factorised in $\mathcal{Z}$ as $6 = 2 \times 3$; while a prime integer like 5 has no factorisation.
By using $\mathcal{C}'_5 = \mathcal{C}'_4 \times \mathcal{C}'_1$ and equating $e^{i(\zeta-\phi)}(\mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1)$ with $\left(e^{i\xi}\mathcal{C}_4\right) \times \left(e^{i\psi}\mathcal{C}_1\right)$; it follows that $\zeta - \phi = \xi + \psi$; and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A'_4 & = & e^{i\xi} A_4 \\ a'_1 & = & e^{i(\zeta-\xi-\phi)} a_1 \end{array} \tag{2.23}$$

from which we learn that A_4 and a_1 sections transform differently; and then $Z_2(b_4) = Z_2(A_4) \times Z_2(a_1)$. ($\boldsymbol{\beta}$) the $\mathcal{C}_{10}$ splits in turns like $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_6 \times \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4$ with

$$\mathcal{C}_6 = \tilde{A}_0 \prod_{j>i=1}^{4} (s - T_{ij}) \qquad , \qquad \mathcal{C}_4 = \tilde{a}_0 \prod_{i=1}^{4} (s - T_{i5}) \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d_0 & = & \tilde{A}_0 \times \tilde{a}_0 \\ d_{10} & = & \tilde{A}_6 \times \tilde{a}_4 \end{array} \tag{2.25}$$

as well as $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_6 = e^{2i\tilde{\xi}}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_6$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4 = e^{2i\tilde{\psi}}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4$ with $\tilde{\xi} + \tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\zeta} - \phi$.
Under the above splitting, the spectrum (2.7) decomposes in terms of reducible $\mathbb{S}_4$ multiplets as follows

curves	weights	S_4	$U_1^{\perp}$	homology	sections	Z_2	$U(1)_Y$ flux
10_{t_i}	t_i	4	0	$\eta - 4c_1 + \chi$	A_4	$\varkappa_4$	N
10_{t_5}	t_5	1	1	$-\chi - c_1$	a_1	$\varkappa_1$	$-N$
$5_{t_i+t_j}$	t_i+t_j	6	0	$\eta' - 6c_1 + \tilde{\chi}$	$\tilde{A}_6$	$\tilde{\varkappa}_6$	N
$5_{t_i+t_5}$	t_i+t_5	4	1	$-\tilde{\chi} - 4c_1$	$\tilde{a}_4$	$\tilde{\varkappa}_4$	$-N$

(2.26)

with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_4 = A_0 \prod_{i=1}^{4} t_i & , & \tilde{A}_6 = \tilde{A}_0 \prod_{j>i=1}^{4} T_{ij} \\ a_1 = a_0 t_5 & , & \tilde{a}_4 = \tilde{a}_0 \prod_{i=1}^{4} T_{i5} \end{array} \tag{2.27}$$

and where $\varkappa_i$ and $\tilde{\varkappa}_k$ refer to Z_2 parities; for instance

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varkappa_4 = Z_2(A_4) & , & \tilde{\varkappa}_6 = Z_2\left(\tilde{A}_6\right) \\ \varkappa_1 = Z_2(a_1) & , & \tilde{\varkappa}_4 = Z_2(\tilde{a}_4) \\ \varkappa_4\varkappa_1 = Z_2(b_5) & , & \tilde{\varkappa}_4\tilde{\varkappa}_6 = Z_2(d_{10}) \end{array} \tag{2.28}$$

The last column of eq(2.26) refers to the hyperflux of the $U(1)_Y$ gauge field strength; it breaks SU_5 gauge symmetry down to standard model gauge invariance; and also pierces the matter curves of the model as shown on table.

2.2.2 $\mathbb{S}_3$- model in standard approach

The breaking of $\mathbb{S}_5$ down to $\mathbb{S}_3$ may be obtained from above $\mathbb{S}_4$ model by further breaking $\mathbb{S}_4$ down to $\mathbb{S}_3$; this corresponds to $SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-5} \times \mathbb{S}_5 \to SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-3} \times \mathbb{S}_3$. This can be realised by fixing one of the four t_i roots; say t_4; so that the breaking pattern is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-5} \times \mathbb{S}_5 & \to & SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-3} \times \mathbb{S}_3 \\ & \sim & SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_3 \times U(1)^2 \end{array} \tag{2.29}$$

Setting $U(1)^2 = U_1^{\perp} \times U_1^{\perp}$, the previous $\mathbb{S}_4$ spectrum decomposes into reducible $\mathbb{S}_3$ multiplets as follows,

curves	S_3	$U_1^{\perp} \times U_1^{\perp}$	homology	section	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux
10_{t_i}	3	$(0,0)$	$\eta - 3c_1 - \chi - \chi'$	A_3'	$-N-P$
10_{t_4}	1	$(1,0)$	$\chi' - c_1$	A_1'	P
10_{t_5}	1	$(0,1)$	$\chi - c_1$	a_1	N
$5_{t_i+t_j}$	3	$(0,0)$	$\eta' - 3c_1 - \tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi}'$	$\tilde{A}_3'$	$-N-P$
$5_{t_i+t_4}$	3	$(1,0)$	$\tilde{\chi}' - 3c_1$	$\tilde{A}_3''$	P
$5_{t_i+t_5}$	3	$(0,1)$	$\tilde{\chi} - 3c_1 - \tilde{\chi}'$	$\tilde{a}_3'$	$N-P$
$5_{t_4+t_5}$	1	$(1,1)$	$\tilde{\chi}' - c_1$	$\tilde{a}_1''$	P

(2.30)

with

$$b_5 = (A_3' A_1') \times a_1 \quad , \quad d_{10} = \left(\tilde{A}_3' \tilde{A}_3''\right) \times (\tilde{a}_3' \tilde{a}_1'') \tag{2.31}$$

where A_3', A_1', a_1 and $\tilde{A}_3'$, $\tilde{A}_3''$, $\tilde{a}_3'$, $\tilde{a}_1''$ are given by relations of form as in (2.27). An extra column for Z_2- parity can be also added as in (2.26) with the property

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Z_2(b_5) & = & Z_2(A_3') \times Z_2(A_1') \times Z_2(a_1) \\ Z_2(d_{10}) & = & Z_2(\tilde{A}_3') \times Z_2(\tilde{A}_3'') \times Z_2(\tilde{a}_3') \times Z_2(\tilde{a}_1'') \end{array} \tag{2.32}$$

Observe also that here we have two new homology class cycles χ and χ' with

$$\int_{\chi} \mathcal{F}_X = N \quad , \quad \int_{\chi'} \mathcal{F}_X = P \tag{2.33}$$

The non zero P is responsible for the second splitting; this is because the breaking of $\mathbb{S}_5$ down to $\mathbb{S}_3$ has been undertaken into two stages: first $\mathbb{S}_5 \to \mathbb{S}_4$; and second $\mathbb{S}_4 \to \mathbb{S}_3$. In what follows we extend this idea to the breaking pattern of $\mathbb{S}_5$ down to $\mathbb{D}_4$.

3 Revisiting $\mathbb{S}_4$ and $\mathbb{S}_3$- models

In this section, we develop tools towards the study of the breaking of $\mathbb{S}_5$ monodromy down to its $\mathbb{D}_4$ sub-symmetry. To our knowledge these tools, have not been used before;

even for $\mathbb{S}_n$ permutation groups; so we begin by revisiting the $\mathbb{S}_4$- and $\mathbb{S}_3$- models from the view of characters of their irreducible representations; and turn in next section to develop the $\mathbb{D}_4$ theory.

3.1 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ model

In the canonical t_i-weight basis, the matter spectrum of $\mathbb{S}_4$- model is given by (2.26); there matter curves are organised into *reducible* multiplets of $\mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^\perp$. Below, we give another manner to approach the spectrum of $\mathbb{S}_4$- model.
By help of the standard relation $24 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 3^2$ showing that $\mathbb{S}_4$ has *5 irreducible* representations $\boldsymbol{R}_i$ and *5 conjugacy* classes $\mathfrak{C}_i$ [39, 40, 41, 42]; and by using properties of the irreducible $\boldsymbol{R}_i$ representations of $\mathbb{S}_4$ given in appendix; eq(2.26) may be expressed in terms of the $\boldsymbol{R}_i$'s and their $\chi_R^{(a,b,c)}$ characters as follows

curves	weights	Irrep S_4	$\chi_R^{(a,b,c)}$	$U_1^\perp$	homology	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux
10_{x_i}	x_i	$\mathbf{3}$	$(1,0,-1)$	0	$\eta - 3c_1$	0
10_{x_4}	x_4	$\mathbf{1}$	$(1,1,1)$	0	$\chi - c_1$	N
10_{t_5}	t_5	$\mathbf{1}$	$(1,1,1)$	1	$-\chi - c_1$	$-N$
$5_{X_{ij}}$	X_{ij}	$\mathbf{3'}$	$(-1,0,1)$	0	$\eta' - 3c_1$	0
$5_{X_{i4}}$	X_{i4}	$\mathbf{3}$	$(1,0,-1)$	0	$-3c_1 + \chi'$	N
$5_{X_{i5}}$	X_{i5}	$\mathbf{3}$	$(1,0,-1)$	0	$-3c_1 - \chi'$	$-N$
$5_{X_{45}}$	X_{45}	$\mathbf{1}$	$(1,1,1)$	1	$-c_1$	0

(3.1)

Notice that $\mathbb{S}_4$ has three generators denoted here by (a, b, c) and chosen as given by 2-, 3- and 4-cycles; they obey amongst others the cyclic properties $a^2 = b^3 = c^4 = I_{id}$; these three generators are non commuting permutation operators making extraction of full information from them a difficult task; but part of these information is given their $\chi_R^{(a,b,c)}$'s; these characters are real numbers as collected in following table [39, 40, 41, 42],

χ_{ij}	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{I}}$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{3'}}$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{2}}$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{3}}$	χ_{ϵ}
a	1	-1	0	1	-1
b	1	0	-1	0	1
c	1	1	0	-1	-1

(3.2)

Notice also that the **4**- and **6**- representations of $\mathbb{S}_4$, which have been used in the canonical formulation of section 2, are decomposed in (3.1) as direct sums of irreducible components as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{4}_{(2,1,0)} &= \mathbf{1}_{(1,1,1)} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{(1,0,-1)} \\ \mathbf{6}_{(0,0,0)} &= \mathbf{3}_{(1,0,-1)} \oplus \mathbf{3'}_{(-1,0,1)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Notice moreover that the previous t_i- weights are now replaced by new quantities x_i given by some linear combinations of the t_i's fixed by representation theory of $\mathbb{S}_4$. One of these weights; say x_4, is given by the usual completely $\mathbb{S}_4$- symmetric term

$$x_4 \sim (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4) \tag{3.4}$$

transforming in the trivial representation of $\mathbb{S}_4$; the three other x_i are given by some orthogonal linear combinations of the four t_i's that we express as follows

$$x_i = \alpha_i t_1 + \beta_i t_2 + \gamma_i t_3 + \delta_i t_4 \tag{3.5}$$

These three weights transform as an irreducible triplet of $\mathbb{S}_4$; but seen that we have two kinds of 3-dim representations in $\mathbb{S}_4$ namely **3** and **3′**, the explicit expressions of (3.5) depend in which of the two representations the x_i's are sitting; details are reported in appendix where one also finds the relationships $t_\mu = U_{\mu\rho} x_\rho$ and $t_\mu \pm t_\nu = (U_{\mu\rho} \pm U_{\nu\rho})\, x_\rho$. Notice finally that the explicit expressions of $X_{\mu\nu}$ weights in (3.1) are not needed in our approach; their role will be played by the characters of the representations.

3.2 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_3 \times \left(U_1^\perp\right)^2$ model

The spectrum of GUT- curves of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_3 \times \left(U_1^\perp\right)^2$ model follows from the spectrum of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_5$ theory by using splitting spectral method. By working in the canonical basis for t_i- weights, this spectrum, expressed in terms of reducible multiplets, is given by (2.30). Here, we revisit the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_3 \times \left(U_1^\perp\right)^2$ curves spectrum by using irreducible representations of $\mathbb{S}_3$ and their characters.

We start by recalling that $\mathbb{S}_3$ has three irreducible representations as shown of the usual character relation $6 = 1^2 + 1^{2\prime} + 2^2$ linking the order of $\mathbb{S}_3$ to the squared dimensions of its irreducible representations; these irreducible representations are nicely described in terms of Young diagrams [42]

1 :  , **2** :  , **1′** :  (3.6)

The group $\mathbb{S}_3$ is a non abelian discrete group; it has two non commuting generators (a, b) satisfying $a^2 = b^3 = 1$ with characters as follows

χ_R	$\chi_{\mathbf{1}}$	$\chi_{\mathbf{2}}$	χ_ϵ
a	1	0	−1
b	1	−1	1

(3.7)

The spectrum of matter curves in the $\mathbb{S}_3$-model is obtained here by starting from the $\mathbb{S}_4$ spectrum (t_1, t_2, t_3) (2.30); and then breaking $\mathbb{S}_4$ monodromy to $\mathbb{S}_3 \times \mathbb{S}_1$. We find

curves	weights	Irrep S_3	$\chi_R^{(a,b)}$	$U_1^\perp$	homology	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux
10_{x_i}	x_i	**2**	$(0,-1)$	0	$\eta - 2c_1 - \chi'$	$-P$
10_{x_3}	x_3	**1**	$(1,1)$	0	$-\chi - c_1$	$-N$
10_{x_4}	x_4	**1**	$(1,1)$	0	$\chi' - c_1$	P
10_{t_5}	t_5	**1**	$(1,1)$	1	$\chi - c_1$	N
$5_{X_{ij}}$	X_{ij}	**2**	$(0,-1)$	0	$\eta' - 2c_1$	0
$5_{X_{i3}}$	X_{i3}	**1**	$(-1,1)$	0	$-c_1 - \chi' - \chi$	$-P-N$
$5_{X_{i4}}$	X_{i4}	**2**	$(0,-1)$	0	$-2c_1$	0
$5_{X_{34}}$	X_{34}	**1**	$(1,1)$	0	$\chi' - c_1$	P
$5_{X_{i5}}$	X_{i5}	**2**	$(0,-1)$	0	$-2c_1$	0
$5_{X_{35}}$	X_{35}	**1**	$(1,1)$	0	$-c_1 - \chi' + \chi$	$N-P$
$5_{X_{45}}$	X_{45}	**1**	$(1,1)$	1	$\chi' - c_1$	P

(3.8)

where the integers P and N are as in eq(2.33).

4 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ models

First notice that the engineering of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ theory has been recently studied in [16] by using Galois theory; but here we use a method based on characters of the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{D}_4$; and finds at the end that there are in fact three kinds of $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ models; they are explicitly constructed in this section. To that purpose, we first review useful aspects on characters of the dihedral group; then we turn to construct the three $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ models.

4.1 Characters in $\mathbb{D}_4$ models

The dihedral $\mathbb{D}_4$ is an order *8* subgroup of $\mathbb{S}_4$ with no 3-cycles; there are three kinds of such subgroups inside $\mathbb{S}_4$; an example of $\mathbb{D}_4$ subgroup is the one having the following elements

$$I_{id} \quad , \quad \begin{matrix}(24)\\(13)\end{matrix} \quad , \quad \begin{matrix}(13)\,(24)\\(12)\,(34)\\(14)\,(23)\end{matrix} \quad , \quad \begin{matrix}(1234)\\(1432)\end{matrix} \tag{4.1}$$

with non commuting generators $a = \langle(24)\rangle$ and $b = \langle(1234)\rangle$ satisfying $a^2 = b^4 = I$ and $aba = b^3$. The two other $\mathbb{D}_4'$ and $\mathbb{D}_4''$ have similar contents; but with other transpositions and 4-cycles. In terms of (a, b) generators, the eight elements (4.1) of the dihedral $\mathbb{D}_4$ reads as

$$I_{id} \quad , \quad \begin{matrix} a \\ b^2a \end{matrix} \quad , \quad \begin{matrix} b^2 \\ ab \\ ba \end{matrix} \quad , \quad \begin{matrix} b \\ b^3 \end{matrix} \tag{4.2}$$

they form *5* conjugacy classes as follows

$$\begin{matrix} \mathfrak{C}_1 = \{I_{id}\} & , & \mathfrak{C}_2 = \{b^2\} & , & \mathfrak{C}_3 = \{b, b^3\} \\ \mathfrak{C}_4 = \{a\} & , & \mathfrak{C}_5 = \{ab\} \end{matrix} \tag{4.3}$$

The dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_4$ has also *5* irreducible representations $\boldsymbol{R}_i$; this can be directly learnt on the character formula $8 = 1_1^2 + 1_2^2 + 1_3^2 + 1_4^2 + 2^2$, linking the order of $\mathbb{D}_4$ with the sum of d_i^2, the squares of the dimensions d_i of the irreducible $\boldsymbol{R}_i$ representations of $\mathbb{D}_4$. So, the order *8* dihedral group has four irreducible representations with 1-dim; and a fifth irreducible $\mathbb{D}_4$- representation with 2-dim [42]. The character table of $\mathbb{D}_4$ representations is given by

$\mathfrak{C}_i \backslash \chi_{\boldsymbol{R}_j}$	$\chi_{\mathbf{1}_1}$	$\chi_{\mathbf{1}_2}$	$\chi_{\mathbf{1}_3}$	$\chi_{\mathbf{1}_4}$	χ_2	number
$\mathfrak{C}_1$	1	1	1	1	2	1
$\mathfrak{C}_2$	1	1	1	1	-2	1
$\mathfrak{C}_3$	1	1	-1	-1	0	2
$\mathfrak{C}_4$	1	-1	1	-1	0	2
$\mathfrak{C}_5$	1	-1	-1	1	0	2

(4.4)

from which we learn the following characters of the (a, b) generators

$\chi_{ij}^{(g)}$	$\chi_{\mathbf{1}_1}$	$\chi_{\mathbf{1}_2}$	$\chi_{\mathbf{1}_3}$	$\chi_{\mathbf{1}_4}$	χ_2
a	1	-1	1	-1	0
b	1	1	-1	-1	0

(4.5)

For other features see [41]. With these tools at hand, we turn to engineer the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ models with dihedral monodromy symmetry.

4.2 Three $\mathbb{D}_4$- models

As in the case of $\mathbb{S}_3$ monodromy, the breaking of $\mathbb{S}_4$ down to $\mathbb{D}_4$ is induced by non zero flux piercing the curves of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ model. Using properties from the

character table of $\mathbb{D}_4$, we distinguish three kinds of models depending on the way the $\mathbb{S}_4$-irreducible triplets have been pierced; there are three possibilities and are as described in what follows:

4.2.1 First case: $\mathbf{3} = \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{0,0}$

In this model, the various irreducible triplets of $\mathbb{S}_4$; in particular those involved in:

(i) the five 10-plets namely $\mathbf{5} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{t_5}$, and

(ii) the ten 5-plets which includes the four 10-plets charged under $\mathrm{U}_1^\perp$ namely $\mathbf{4}_{t_5} = \mathbf{1}_{t_5} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{t_5}$, and the six uncharged 10-plets given by $\mathbf{6} = \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{3}'$,

are decomposed as sums of two singlets $\mathbf{1}_{p,q} + \mathbf{1}_{p',q'}$ and a doublet $\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$. The character properties of the $\mathbb{D}_4$- representations indicate that the decompositions of the triplets should be as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{3}|_{\mathbb{D}_4} & = & \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{0,0} \\ \mathbf{3}'|_{\mathbb{D}_4} & = & \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{0,0} \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

By substituting these relations back into the restricted spectrum resulting from (3.1), we end with the following $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ spectrum

- *five 10-plets*

curves	weights	$\mathbb{D}_4$	$\chi_{\mathbf{R}}^{(a,b)}$	$U_1^\perp$	homology	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux
10_{y_i}	y_i	$\mathbf{2}$	$(0,0)$	0	$\eta - 2c_1 - \varphi$	$-N-P$
10_{y_3}	y_3	$\mathbf{1}$	$(1,-1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
10_{y_4}	y_4	$\mathbf{1}$	$(1,1)$	0	$\chi' - c_1$	P
10_{t_5}	t_5	$\mathbf{1}$	$(1,1)$	1	$\chi - c_1$	N

(4.7)

where $\chi_{\mathbf{R}}^{(a,b)}$ stands for the character of the generators in the $\mathbf{R}$ representation; $\varphi = \chi + \chi'$, and the integers N and P as in eqs(2.33). Notice that the multiplets 10_{y_4} and 10_{t_5} transform in the same trivial $\mathbb{D}_4$- representation; but having different t_5- charges; the 10_{y_3} transforms also as a singlet; but with character $(1,-1)$; it is a good candidate for accommodating the top-quark family.

- *ten 5-plets*

curves	weight	$\mathbb{D}_4$	$\chi^{(a,b)}_{\mathbf{R}}$	$U_1^\perp$	homology	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux
$5_{Y_{i3}}$	Y_{i3}	$\mathbf{2}$	$(0,0)$	0	$\eta'-2c_1+\varphi$	$N+P$
$5_{Y_{12}}$	Y_{12}	$\mathbf{1}$	$(-1,1)$	0	$-\chi-c_1$	$-N$
$5_{Y_{i4}}$	Y_{i4}	$\mathbf{2}$	$(0,0)$	0	$-\chi'-c_1$	$-P$
$5_{Y_{34}}$	Y_{34}	$\mathbf{1}$	$(1,-1)$	0	$-2c_1$	0
$5_{Y_{i5}}$	Y_{i5}	$\mathbf{2}$	$(0,0)$	1	$-\chi'-c_1$	$-P$
$5_{Y_{35}}$	Y_{35}	$\mathbf{1}$	$(1,-1)$	1	$-\chi-c_1$	$-N$
$5_{Y_{45}}$	Y_{45}	$\mathbf{1}$	$(1,1)$	1	$\varphi-2c_1$	$N+P$

(4.8)

where we have set $\varphi=\chi+\chi'$. From this table, we learn that among the ten 5-plets, two sit in the $1_{+,-}$ representation with character $(1,-1)$; but with differen t_5 charges; one in $1_{-,+}$ with character $(-1,1)$ with no t_5 charge; and a fourth in the trivial representation of $\mathbb{D}_4$ with a unit t_5 charge.

- *flavons*

  Among the *24* flavons of the $SU_5\times\mathbb{S}_4\times U_1^\perp$ model, there are *20* ones charged under $\mathbb{D}_4$ monodromy symmetry; but because of hermitic feature, they can be organised into $\mathbf{10}\oplus\mathbf{10'}$ subsets with opposite $\mathbb{D}_4$ characters and opposite t_5 charges. Moreover due to reducibility of the 10-dim multiplet as $\mathbf{10}=\mathbf{4}_{t_5}\oplus\mathbf{6}$, which is also equal to $(\mathbf{1}_{t_5}\oplus\mathbf{3}_{t_5})\oplus(\mathbf{3}\oplus\mathbf{3'})$; and therefore to the direct sum $\mathbf{1}^{t_5}_{+,+}\oplus\left(\mathbf{1}^{t_5}_{+,-}\oplus\mathbf{2}^{t_5}_{0,0}\right)$ plus $(\mathbf{1}_{+,-}\oplus\mathbf{2}_{0,0})+(\mathbf{1}_{-,+}\oplus\mathbf{2}_{0,0})$; one ends with: (α) flavons doublets ϑ^i, $\vartheta^i_{t_5}$ having character $(0,0)$ with and without t_5 charges; and (β) flavon singlets having characters $(\pm1,\pm1)$ with and without t_5 charges; they are as collected below.

curves	weights	$\mathbb{D}_4$ irrep	$\chi^{(a,b)}_{\mathbf{R}}$ character	t_5 charge
$1_{\pm Z_{i3}}$	$\pm Z_{i3}$	$\mathbf{2}$	$(0,0)$	0
$1_{\pm Z_{12}}$	$\pm Z_{12}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\pm(-1,1)$	0
$1_{\pm Z_{i4}}$	$\pm Z_{i4}$	$\mathbf{2}$	$(0,0)$	0
$1_{\pm Z_{34}}$	$\pm Z_{34}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\pm(1,-1)$	0
$1_{\pm Z_{i5}}$	$\pm Z_{i5}$	$\mathbf{2}$	$(0,0)$	∓1
$1_{\pm Z_{35}}$	$\pm Z_{35}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\pm(1,-1)$	∓1
$1_{\pm Z_{45}}$	$\pm Z_{45}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\pm(1,1)$	∓1

(4.9)

4.2.2 Second case: $\mathbf{3} = \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,+}$

This is a completely reducible model; under restriction to dihedral subsymmetry, the **3** and **3′** triplets of $\mathbb{S}_4$ are decomposed as follows

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{3}|_{\mathbb{D}_4} & = & \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \\ \mathbf{3}'|_{\mathbb{D}_4} & = & \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \end{array} \tag{4.10}$$

by substituting these decompositions back into the spectrum of $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$- theory given by (3.1), we obtain the curves spectrum of the second $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ - model:

- *five 10-plets*
  The spectrum of the 10-plets in the $\mathbb{D}_4$- model II can be also deduced from (4.7) by splitting the $\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$ doublet as $\mathbf{1}_{+,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,+}$; we have

curves	$\mathbb{D}_4$ irrep	character	$U_1^{\perp}$	homology	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux
$10_{+,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	0	$\eta - c_1 - \chi - \chi'$	$-N-P$
$10_{-,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$	$(-1,1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
$10_{+,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
$10_{+,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	0	$\chi' - c_1$	P
$10^{t_5}_{+,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	1	$\chi - c_1$	N

(4.11)

  Here we have two matter multiplets namely $10_{+,+}$ and $10^{t_5}_{+,+}$; they transform in the same trivial $\mathbb{D}_4$- representation with character $(1,1)$; but having different t_5-charges. We also have two $10_{+,-}$ multiplets transforming in $1_{+,-}$ with character $(1,-1)$; but with different fluxes; and one multiplet $10_{-,+}$ with character $(-1,1)$; it will be interpreted in appendix B as the one accommodating the top-quark family.

- *ten 5-plets*

curves	$\mathbb{D}_4$ irrep	$\chi^{(a,b)}_{\mathbf{R}}$	$U_1^{\perp}$	homology	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux
$5_{+,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	0	$\eta' - c_1 + \chi + \chi'$	$N+P$
$5_{-,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$	$(-1,1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
$5_{-,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$	$(-1,1)$	0	$-\chi - c_1$	$-N$
$5_{+,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	0	$-\chi' - c_1$	$-P$
$5_{-,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$	$(-1,1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
$5_{+,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
$5^{t_5}_{+,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	1	$-\chi' - c_1$	$-P$
$5^{t_5}_{-,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$	$(-1,1)$	1	$-c_1$	0
$5^{t_5}_{+,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	1	$-\chi - c_1$	$-N$
$5^{t_5}_{+,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	1	$-2c_1 + \chi + \chi'$	$N+P$

(4.12)

where N and P as in eqs(2.33).

In this model, there is no flavon doublets; there are only singlet flavons transforming in the representations $\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$, $\mathbf{1}_{-,-}$, $\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$, $\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$ with and without t_5 charges; they are denoted in what follows as $\vartheta_{p,q}$ and $\vartheta_{p,q}^{\pm t_5}$ with $p, q = \pm 1$.

4.2.3 Third case: $\mathbf{3} = \mathbf{1}_{+,+} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{+,-}$

This $\mathbb{D}_4$- model differs from the previous one by the characters of the singlets; since in this case the $\mathbb{S}_4$- triplets $\mathbf{3}|_{\mathbb{S}_4}$ and $\mathbf{3}'|_{\mathbb{S}_4}$ are decomposed in terms of irreducible representations of $\mathbb{D}_4$ like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{3}|_{\mathbb{D}_4} &= \mathbf{1}_{+,+} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{+,-} \\ \mathbf{3}'|_{\mathbb{D}_4} &= \mathbf{1}_{+,+} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,-} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{-,+} \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Substituting these relationships back into (3.1), we get the curve spectrum of the third model namely:

- *five 10-plets*

curves	$\mathbb{D}_4$ irrep	character	$U_1^\perp$	homology	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux
$10_{+,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	0	$\eta - c_1 - \varphi$	$-N-P$
$10_{-,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,-}$	$(-1,-1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
$10_{+,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
$10_{+,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	0	$\chi' - c_1$	P
$10_{+,+}^{t_5}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	1	$\chi - c_1$	N

(4.14)

Here we have three $10_{p,q}$ matter multiplets in the trivial $\mathbb{D}_4$- representation with character $(p,q) = (1,1)$; one of them namely $10_{+,+}^{t_5}$ having a t_5 charge and the two others not. A fourth curve $10_{+,-}$ in $1_{+,-}$ without t_5 charge nor a flux; and a fifth $10_{-,-}$ in $1_{-,-}$ with no t_5 but carrying a flux.

- *ten 5-plets*

curves	$\mathbb{D}_4$ irrep	$\chi_{\mathbf{R}}^{(a,b)}$	$U_1^\perp$	homology	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux
$5_{+,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	0	$\eta'-c_1+\chi+\chi'$	$N+P$
$5_{-,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,-}$	$(-1,-1)$	0	$-\kappa_1\chi'-c_1$	$-\kappa_1 P$
$5_{-,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$	$(-1,1)$	0	$-\chi-c_1$	$-N$
$5_{+,+}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	0	$-\kappa_2\chi'-c_1$	$-\kappa_2 P$
$5_{-,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,-}$	$(-1,-1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
$5_{+,-}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	0	$-c_1$	0
$5_{+,+}^{t_5}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	1	$-\kappa_1\chi'-c_1$	$-\kappa_1 P$
$5_{-,-}^{t_5}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,-}$	$(-1,-1)$	1	$-\kappa_2\chi'-c_1$	$-\kappa_2 P$
$5_{+,-}^{t_5}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	$(1,-1)$	1	$-\chi-c_1$	$-N$
$5_{+,+}^{t_5}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	$(1,1)$	1	$-2c_1+\chi+\chi'$	$N+P$

(4.15)

with $\kappa_1+\kappa_2=1$ whose values will be fixed by the derivation of MSSM. The ten 5-plets $5_{p,q}$ splits as follows: *4* with $p=q=1$; the two $5_{+,+}^{t_5}$ having a t_5 charge and the two others $5_{+,+}$ chargeless; the $\mathrm{U}_1^\perp$ charges and the (N,P) fluxes allow to distinguish the four. There are also *3* types of $5_{-,-}$- plets; two $5_{+,-}$ and one $5_{-,+}$. This model has no flavon doublets; there are only singlet flavons $\vartheta_{p,q}$ and $\vartheta_{p,q}^{\pm t_5}$ with $p,q=\pm 1$.

5 MSSM like spectrum

First, we describe the breaking of the $\mathrm{SU}_5\times\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^\perp$ theory down to supersymmetric standard model; then we study the derivation of the spectrum of MSSM like model with $\mathbb{D}_4$ monodromy; and where the heaviest top-quark family is singled out.

5.1 Breaking gauge symmetry

Gauge symmetry is broken by $\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ hyperflux; by assuming doublet- triplet splitting produced by N units of $\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$, but still preserving $\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^\perp$, the 10-plets and 5-plets get decomposed into irreducible representations of standard model symmetry. The 5-plets of the $\mathrm{SU}_5\times\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^\perp$ models with multiplicity M_5 split as [60, 61]

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_{(3,1)_{-1/3}}-n_{(\bar{3},1)_{+1/3}} & = & M_5 \\ n_{(1,2)_{+1/2}}-n_{(1,2)_{-1/2}} & = & M_5+N \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

leading to a difference between number of triplets and doublets in the low energy MSSM effective theory. These two relations are important since for $N \neq 0$ the correlation is some how relaxed; by choosing

$$M_5^{(Higgs)} = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

the coloured triplet-antitriplet fields $(3,1)_{-1/3}$ and $(\bar{3},1)_{+1/3}$ in the Higgs matter curve come in pair that form heavy massive states; which decouple at low energy. Moreover, by making particular choices of the $M_5^{(matter)}$ multiplicities, we can also have the desired matter curve properties for accommodating fermion families; in particular the chirality property $n_{(1,2)_{+1/2}} \neq n_{(1,2)_{-1/2}}$ which is induced by hyperflux. Furthermore, due to the flux, we also have different numbers of down quarks d_L^c and lepton doublets L.
For the 10- plets of the GUT- model with multiplicity M_{10}, we have the following decompositions [27, 62, 63]

$$\begin{aligned} n_{(3,2)_{+1/6}} - n_{(\bar{3},2)_{-1/6}} &= M_{10} \\ n_{(\bar{3},1)_{-2/3}} - n_{(3,1)_{+2/3}} &= M_{10} - N \\ n_{(1,1)_{+1}} - n_{(1,1)_{-1}} &= M_{10} + N \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The first relation with $M_{10} \neq 0$ generates up-quark chirality since the number $n_{(3,2)_{+1/6}}$ of $Q_L = (3,2)_{+1/6}$ representations differs from the number $n_{(\bar{3},2)_{+1/6}}$ of $\bar{Q}_L = (\bar{3},2)_{-1/6}$. With non zero units of hyperflux, the two extra relations leads to the other desired splitting; the second relation leads for $N \neq 0$ to lifting the multiplicities between $Q = (3,2)_{+1/6}$ and $u^c = (\bar{3},1)_{-2/3}$ while the third relation ensures the chirality property of e_L^c.
In what follows, we study the derivation of an effective matter curve spectrum that resembles to the field content of MSSM. In addition to three families and

$$\sum M_{10} + \sum M_5 = 0 \tag{5.4}$$

as well as total hyperflux conservation

$$\sum_{fluxes} N_i = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

we demand the following:

- only a tree- level Yukawa coupling is allowed; and is given by the top-quark family,
- the heaviest third generation is the least family affected by hyperflux,
- MSSM matter generations are in $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ representations,
- no dimension 4 and 5 proton decay operators are allowed,
- no μ- term at a tree level,
- two Higgs doublets H_u and H_d as required by MSSM.

5.2 Building the spectrum

Seen that there are three possible $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ models, we focus on the first model with curve spectrum given by eqs(4.7-4.8); and consider first the 10-plets; then turn after to 5-plets. Results regarding the two other models II and III are reported in appendix B.

5.2.1 Ten-plets sector in $\mathbb{D}_4$- model I

The five 10-plets of the $\mathbb{D}_4$ model carry different quantum numbers with respect to $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ representations, different hyperflux units (N, P); and different $M_{10}^{(n)}$ multiplicities satisfying the properties (5.3). By thinking about $\sum M_{10}$ as given by the number of MSSM generations

$$\sum M_{10} = 3 \tag{5.6}$$

and taking into account that the two components of the 10_i- doublet are monodromy equivalent; it follows that one of the five 10-plets should be disregarded; at least at a tree level analysis. Moreover, using the property that top- quark 10-plet should be a $\mathbb{D}_4$- singlet; one may choose the $M_{10}^{(n)}$'s as in following table,

curves	$\mathbb{D}_4$ irrep	$U_1^\perp$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ flux	multiplicity	
10_i	$\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$	0	$-N-P$	$M_{10}^{(a)}$	2
10_3	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	0	0	$M_{10}^{(3)}$	1
10_4	$\mathbf{1}_{++}$	0	P	$M_{10}^{(4)}$	0
10_5	$\mathbf{1}_{++}$	1	N	$M_{10}^{(5)}$	0

(5.7)

where chiral modes of 10_4 have been ejected ($M_{10}^{(4)} = 0$). Notice that the top- quark generation can a priori be taken in any one of the three $\mathbb{D}_4$- singlets; that is either 10_3 or 10_4; or 10_5; the basic difference between these $\mathbb{D}_4$- singlets is given by t_5 charge and hyperflux. But the choice of the 10_3-multiplet looks be the natural one as it is unaffected by hyperflux, a desired property for MSSM and beyond; and has no t_5 charge

$$\mathbf{10}_3 = (Q_L, U_L^c, e_L^c) \equiv \mathbf{10}_{+,-} \tag{5.8}$$

This multiplet captures also an interesting signature of $\mathbb{D}_4$ monodromy in the sense it behaves as a $\mathbb{D}_4$-singlet $1_{+,-}$ with non trivial character $(+1, -1)$. The importance of this feature at modeling level is twice: (**i**) first it fixes the quantum numbers of the 5_{H_u} Higgs representation as a $\mathbb{D}_4$- singlet $5_{p,q}$ as shown on the tree level top- quark Yukawa coupling

$$10_{+,-} \otimes 10_{+,-} \otimes 5_{H_u} \tag{5.9}$$

Monodromy invariance of (5.9) under $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ requires 5_{H_u} in the trivial representation with no t_5 charge; i.e: $5_{H_u} \sim 1_{+,+}$. However, an inspection of the characters of the $U_1^\perp$ chargeless 5-plets revels that there is no $(5_{+,+})_{t_5=0}$ in the spectrum of the the $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$-models I and II constructed above. To bypass this constraint, we realise the role of the Higgs 5_{H_u} by allowing VEVs to come from flavons as well; in other words by thinking of 5_{H_u} as follows

$$5_{H_u} \quad \to \quad 5_{p,q} \otimes \vartheta_{p',q'} \qquad with \qquad pp' = 1,\ qq' = 1 \tag{5.10}$$

where $\vartheta_{p',q'}$ stands for a flavon in the representation $1_{p',q'}$.
(**ii**) second it gives an important tool to distinguish between matter and Higgs in the 5-plets sector as manifestly exhibited by the tri-coupling $10_{+,-} \otimes \bar{5}^M \otimes \bar{5}_{H_d}$. This interaction requires matter $\bar{5}_3^M$ and Higgs $\bar{5}_{H_d}$ to be in different $\mathbb{D}_4$- singlets $1_{p,q}$ and $1_{p',q'}$ with $pp' = 1$ and $qq' = -1$; see discussion given later on.
By choosing the hyperflux units as $N = P = 1$; and using (5.3) we obtain the matter content

curves	$\mathbb{D}_4$	$U_1^\perp$	flux	matter content	Z_2 parity
10_i	$\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$	0	-2	$2Q_L \oplus 4e_L^c$	$\varkappa_{43} = -$
10_3	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	0	0	$Q_L \oplus U_L^c \oplus e_L^c$	$\varkappa_{42} = -$
10_4	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	0	1	$U_L^c \ominus e_L^c$	$\varkappa_{41} = +$
10_5	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	1	1	$U_L^c \ominus e_L^c$	$\varkappa_1 = +$

(5.11)

Notice that by following [16] using Galois theory, the 10-plets have been attributed $\mathbb{Z}_2$ parity charges as reported by the last column of above table. In our formulation these parities correspond to $s_i \to -s_i$ and $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_4 = \varkappa_{41}\varkappa_{42}\varkappa_{43}$ as in eq(2.28); by help of (2.14) and (2.22) we obtain

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathbb{Z}_2\,(b_5) & = +1 & , \quad \mathbb{Z}_2\,(b_0) & = -1 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2\,(d_{10}) & = -1 & , \quad \mathbb{Z}_2\,(d_0) & = -1 \end{array} \tag{5.12}$$

in agreement with (2.17).

5.2.2 Five-plets sector

Like for 10-plets, the ten 5-plets carry different quantum numbers of $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ representations, hyperflux units (N, P) and $M_5^{(n)}$ multiplicities as in (5.1). To have a matter curve spectrum that resembles to MSSM, we choose the $M_5^{(n)}$'s and the hyperflux as

curves	$\mathbb{D}_4$ irrep	$U_1^\perp$	homology	flux	multiplicity
$5_{Y_{i3}}$	$\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$	0	$\eta'-2c_1-\chi'+\xi'$	$-N-P$	$M_5^{(1)}$
$5_{Y_{12}}$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$	0	$\chi'-c_1$	N	$M_5^{(2)}$
$5_{Y_{i4}}$	$\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$	0	$\xi'-c_1$	P	$M_5^{(3)}$
$5_{Y_{34}}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	0	$-2c_1$	0	$M_5^{(4)}$
$5_{Y_{i5}}$	$\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$	-1	$\xi'-c_1$	P	$M_5^{(5)}$
$5_{Y_{35}}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	-1	$\chi'-c_1$	N	$M_5^{(6)}$
$5_{Y_{45}}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	-1	$-2c_1-\chi'-\xi'$	$-N-P$	$M_5^{(7)}$

(5.13)

where χ' and ξ' are two classes playing similar role as in the case of breaking $\mathbb{S}_5$ monodromy down to $\mathbb{S}_3$. By using (5.4-5.6), we have

$$\sum M_5 = -\sum M_{10} = -3 \tag{5.14}$$

and thinking of this number as $\sum M_5 = 3-6$, a possible configuration for a MSSM like spectrum is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_5^{(1)} &= 2 \\ M_5^{(2)} &= 0 \\ M_5^{(3)} &= -4 \\ M_5^{(4)} &= 0 \\ M_5^{(6)} &= 1 \\ M_5^{(7)} &= -2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

By choosing the hyperflux as $N=P=1$, and putting back into above table, we obtain, after relabeling, the 5-plets

curves	$\mathbb{D}_4$	$U_1^\perp$	flux	$M_5^{(n)}$	matter	parity
$\left(5_i^M\right)_0$	$\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$	0	-2	2	$2\bar{d}_L^c$	$\tilde{\varkappa}_{61} = +$
$\left(5_{-,+}^{H_u}\right)_0$	$\mathbf{1}_{-,+}$	0	1	0	H_u	$\tilde{\varkappa}_{62} = +$
$\left(5_{+,-}^M\right)_0$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	0	1	-4	$-4\bar{d}_L^c-3\bar{L}$	$\tilde{\varkappa}_{63} = -$
$\left(5_{+,+}^{H_d}\right)_{-t_5}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,+}$	-1	1	0	$-H_d$	$\tilde{\varkappa}_{41} = +$
$\left(5_{+,-}^M\right)_{-t_5}$	$\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$	-1	1	1	$\bar{d}_L^c$	$\tilde{\varkappa}_{42} = +$
$\left(5_i^M\right)_{-t_5}$	$\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$	-1	-2	-2	$-2\bar{d}_L^c$	$\tilde{\varkappa}_{43} = +$
$\left(5_i^M\right)_0$	$\mathbf{2}_{0,0}$	0	0	0	0	$\tilde{\varkappa}_{64} = +$

(5.16)

From this table we learn that the up-Higgs 5-plet $\left(5_{-,+}^{H_u}\right)_0$ has a character equal to $(-1,+1)$ and no t_5 charge; by substituting in (5.10), we obtain $5_{H_u} \sim \left(5_{-,+}^{H_u}\right)_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0$.

We also learn that the 5-plet $(5^M_{+,-})_0$ is the least multiplet affected by hyperflux; and because of our assumptions, it is the candidate for matter $\bar{5}^M_3$; the partner of 10_3 in the underlying SO_{10} GUT-model. With this choice, the down-type quarks tri-coupling for the third family namely $10_3 \otimes \bar{5}^M_3 \otimes \bar{5}^{H_d}$; and which we rewrite like

$$10_{+,-} \otimes \bar{5}^M_{p,q} \otimes \bar{5}^{H_d}_{p',q'} \qquad with \qquad pp' = 1, qq' = -1 \tag{5.17}$$

This coupling requires the matter $\bar{5}^M_3$ and the down-Higgs $\bar{5}^{H_d}$ multiplets to belong to different $\mathbb{D}_4$ singlets seen that 10_3 is in $\mathbf{1}_{+,-}$ representation. However, the candidates $(\bar{5}^{H_d}_{-,-})_{t_5}$ and $\bar{5}^M_3 \equiv (\bar{5}^M_{-,+})_0$ are ruled out because of the non conservation of t_5 charge. Nevertheless, a typical diagonal mass term of third family may be generated by using a flavon $\vartheta^+_{-t_5}$ carrying -1 unit charge under $U_1^\perp$ and transforming as a trivial $\mathbb{D}_4$ singlet. This leads to the realisation $\bar{5}^{H_d} \sim (\bar{5}^{H_d}_{-,-})_{t_5}(\vartheta_{++})_{-t_5}$; and then to

$$(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes \left(\bar{5}^M_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\bar{5}^{H_d}_{-,-}\right)_{t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{++})_{-t_5} \tag{5.18}$$

Non diagonal 4-order coupling superpotentials with one $(10_{+,-})_0$ are as follows[3]

$$\begin{array}{l}
(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,-})_0 \\
(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,-})_{-t_5} \\
(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \\
(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \\
(10_{+,+})_0 \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \\
(10_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_{-t_5}
\end{array} \tag{5.19}$$

Below, we discuss some properties of these couplings.

5.3 More on couplings in $\mathbb{D}_4$ model I

First, we study the quark sector; and turn after to the case of leptons.

5.3.1 Quark sector

From the view of supersymmetric standard model with $SU(3) \times SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1)$ gauge symmetry; and denoting the triplet and doublet components of the Higss 5-plets $5^{H_x} = 3^{H_x} \oplus 2^{H_x}$ respectively like $D_x \oplus H_x$, the usual tree level up/down-type Yukawa couplings in SU_5 model split like

$$\begin{array}{lll}
10^M.10^M.5^{H_u} & \to & Qu^cH_u + u^ce^cD^c_u + QQD^c_u \\
10^M.\bar{5}^M.\bar{5}^{H_d} & \to & Qd^cH_d + e^cLH_d + QD^c_dL
\end{array} \tag{5.20}$$

[3] a complete classification requires also use Z_2 partity; see [16].

They involve up/down Higgs triplets D_u^c and D_d^c, which are exotic to MSSM; but with the hyperflux $U_Y(1)$ choice we have made in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ model (5.11,5.16), they are removed; therefore we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} 10^M.10^M.5^{H_u} & \to & Qu^cH_u \\ 10^M.\overline{5}^M.\overline{5}^{H_d} & \to & Qd^cH_d + e^cLH_d \end{array} \tag{5.21}$$

with right hand sides capturing same monodromy representations as left hand sides; that is Q, u^c same $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ representations as 10^M; and so on. In what follows, we study each of these terms separately by taking into account $\vartheta_{p,q}$ flavon contributions up to order four couplings; some of these flavons are interpreted as right neutrinos; they will be discussed at proper time.

- *Up-type Yukawa couplings*

Because of the $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ monodromy charge of the up-Higgs 5-plet like $(5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0$, there is no monodromy invariant 3-coupling type $10^M.10^M.5^{H_u}$. As shown by eq(5.10), one needs to go to higher orders by implementing flavons with quantum numbers depending on the monodromy representation of the 10-plets. Indeed, by focussing on the third generation $10^M_3 \equiv (10_{+,-})_0$; we can distinguish diagonal and non diagonal interactions; an inspection of $\mathbb{D}_4$ quantum numbers of matter and Higgs multiplets reveals that we need $\mathbb{D}_4$- charged flavons to have monodromy invariant superpotentials as shown below

$$W^{(4)}_{top} = \alpha_3 Tr[(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0] \tag{5.22}$$

By restricting to VEVs $\langle \vartheta_{-,+} \rangle = \rho_0$ and $\langle H_u \rangle = v_u$; this non renormalisable coupling leads to the top quark mass term $m_t Q_3 u^c_3$ with m_t equal to $\alpha_3 v_u \rho_0$. Such a term should be thought of as a particular contribution to a general up-quark mass terms $u^c_i M^{ij} u_j$ with 3×3 mass matrix as follows

$$M_{u,c,t} = v_u \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & \alpha_3\rho_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.23}$$

where the $(*)$'s refer to contributions coming from other terms including non diagonal couplings; one of them is

$$Tr[(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})'_0] \tag{5.24}$$

it involves a 10-plet doublet $(10_{0,0})_0 \equiv (10_i)_0$ and a flavon doublet $(\vartheta_{0,0})'_0 \equiv (\vartheta_i)'_0$ with VEVs (ρ_1, ρ_2); the latter $(\vartheta_{0,0})'_0$ will be combined the 10_i-plet doublet like $(10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})'_0$

to make a scalar. Indeed, the tensor product can be reduced as direct sum over irreducible representations of $\mathbb{D}_4$ having amongst others the $\mathbb{D}_4$-component

$$S_{-,-} = (10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})'_0|_{-,-} \tag{5.25}$$

with $(-,-)$ charge character. This negative charge is needed to compensate the $(-,-)$ charge coming from $(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0$. Restricting to quarks, this reduction corresponds to $(10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})'_0 \to Q_i \otimes \rho_i$ with

$$Q_i \otimes \rho_i|_{(-,-)} = Q_1\rho_2 - Q_2\rho_1 \tag{5.26}$$

Putting back into (5.24), and thinking of $S_{-,-}$ in terms of the linear combination $\alpha_2(Q_1\rho_2 - Q_2\rho_1)$ of quarks, we obtain $\alpha_2 v_u(Q_1\rho_2 - Q_2\rho_1)u^c_3$; which can be put into the form $u^c_i M^{ij} u_j$ with mass matrix as

$$M_{u,c,t} = v_u \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \alpha_2\rho_2 \\ * & * & -\alpha_2\rho_1 \\ * & * & \alpha_3\rho_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.27}$$

One can continue to fill this mass matrix by using the VEV's of other flavons; however to do that, one needs to rule out couplings with those flavons describing right neutrinos ν^c_i. Extending ideas from [16], the 3 generations of the right handed neutrinos ν^c_i in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ model should be as

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \nu^c_3 & \to & (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \\ (\nu^c_1, \nu^c_2)^\top & \to & (\vartheta_{0,0})_0 \end{array} \tag{5.28}$$

with the following features among the set of 15 flavons of the model

flavons	SU_5	$\mathbb{D}_4$ irrep	$U_1^\perp$	Z_2 Parity	VEV
$(\vartheta_{0,0})'_0$	1	2	0	+	$(\rho_1, \rho_2)^\top$
$(\vartheta_{-,+})_0$	1	1	0	+	ρ_0
$(\vartheta_{0,0})_{\pm t_5}$	1	2	± 1	+	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)^\top$
$(\vartheta_{+,-})_{\pm t_5}$	1	1	± 1	+	−
$(\vartheta_{+,+})_{\pm t_5}$	1	1	± 1	$\mp$	ω
$(\vartheta_{0,0})_0 = (\nu^c_1, \nu^c_2)^\top$	1	2	0	−	−
$(\vartheta_{+,-})_0 = \nu^c_3$	1	1	0	−	−

(5.29)

Therefore, the contribution to (5.27) coming from the diagonal couplings of the doublets $(10_{0,0})_0$ follows from

$$W^{(4)} = Tr[(5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes [(10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (10_{0,0})_0]|_{p,q} \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0] \tag{5.30}$$

However, though monodromy invariant, this couplings cannot generate the mass term $mQ_{1,2}u^c_{1,2}$ since the matter curve $(10_{0,0})_0$ don't contain the quark $u^c_{1,2}$; so the mass matrix (5.27) for the up-type quarks is

$$M_{u,c,t} = v_u \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha_2\rho_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha_2\rho_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_3\rho_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.31}$$

it is a rank one matrix; it gives mass to the third generation (top-quark); while the two first generations are massless.

masses for lighter families

The rank one property of above mass matrix (5.31) is a known feature in GUT models building including F-Theory constructions; see for instance [36, 43, 44, 64]. To generate masses for the up- quarks in the first two generations, different approaches have been used in literature: (i) approach based on flux corrections using non perturbative effects [20] or non commutative geometry [21]; and (ii) method using δW deformations of the GUT superpotential W by higher order chiral operators[14, 43, 44, 64, 65, 66]. Following the second way of doing, masses to the two lighter families are generated by higher dimensional operators corrections that are invariant under $\mathbb{D}_4$ symmetry and Z_2 parity. This invariance requirement leads to involve 6- and 7-dimensional chiral operators which contribute to the up- quark mass matrix as follows

$$\delta W = \sum_{i=1}^{5} x_i \delta W_i \tag{5.32}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_1 &= \left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \\ \delta W_2 &= \left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_{-t_5} \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \\ \delta W_3 &= \left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_4 &= (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \\ \delta W_5 &= (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)^2_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

Notice that the adjunction of $(\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5}$ chiral superfield is required by invariance under Z_2 parity. Using this deformation, a higher rank up- quark mass matrix is obtained as usual by giving VEVs to flavons as in in (5.29) and $\left\langle (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_5} \right\rangle = \varphi$. By calculating the product of the operators in eqs(5.33-5.34) using $\mathbb{D}_4$ fusion rules, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_1\delta W_1 &= \left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \\ &= x_1 v_u (Q_1\sigma_1 - Q_2\sigma_2) u^c_2 \omega \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_2\delta W_2 &= \left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \\ &= x_2 v_u (Q_1\sigma_2 - Q_2\sigma_1) u^c_1 \omega\varphi \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

The operator

$$\left(10^i_{0,0}\right)_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_{-t_5} \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5}$$

contributes in the up-quark mass matrix (5.31) as a correction to the matrix elements $m_{1,1}$ and $m_{1,2}$; it has the same role as the higher operator (5.35); so we will not take it into account in the quark mass matrix. Expanding the remaining operators by help of the $\mathbb{D}_4$ rules, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_4\delta W_4 &= (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \\ &= x_4 v_u \rho_0 \varphi\omega Q_3 u^c_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_5\delta W_5 &= (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes \left(5^{H_u}_{-,+}\right)_0 \otimes \left(\vartheta^i_{0,0}\right)^2_{-t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{t_5} \\ &= x_5 v_u \omega Q_3 u^c_1 (\sigma_1\sigma_2 - \sigma_2\sigma_1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Summing up all contributions, we end with the following up-quark matrix

$$M_{u,c,t} = v_u \begin{pmatrix} x_2\sigma_1\omega\varphi & x_1\omega & \alpha_2\rho_2 \\ -x_2\sigma_1\omega\varphi & -x_1\omega & -\alpha_2\rho_1 \\ 0 & x_4\rho_0\varphi\omega & \alpha_4\rho_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.36}$$

• *Down-type Yukawa*

Following the same procedure as in up-Higgs type coupling, we can build invariant operators for the down-type Yukawa

$$\begin{aligned} &(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}^M_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}^{H_d}_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{-t_5} \\ &(\overline{5}^M_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}^{H_d}_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes (10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_{-t_5} \end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$

Restricting VEV of down Higgs $\langle H_d\rangle = v_d$, and using the flavons VEVs as in(5.29) as well as taking into account multiplicities, the first coupling gives a mass term of the form $m_i d^c_i Q_3$ with $m_i = \omega v_d y_{3,i}$ where $y_{3,i}$ are coupling constants. For the second term, we need to reduce $(10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_{-t_5}$ into irreducible $\mathbb{D}_4$ representations; and restricts to the component $S_{(+,-)} = Q_i \otimes \sigma_i|_{(+,-)}$ with

$$S_{(+,-)} = Q_1\sigma_1 + Q_2\sigma_2 \tag{5.38}$$

So the couplings in eqs(5.37) may expressed like

$$y_{3,i} Q_3 d^c_i \omega v_d + y_{1,i}(Q_1\sigma_1 + Q_2\sigma_2) d^c_i v_d \tag{5.39}$$

leading to the mass matrix

$$m_{d,s,b} = v_d \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,1}\sigma_1 & y_{1,2}\sigma_1 & y_{1,3}\sigma_1 \\ y_{1,1}\sigma_2 & y_{1,2}\sigma_2 & y_{1,3}\sigma_2 \\ y_{3,1}\omega & y_{3,2}\omega & y_{3,3}\omega \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.40}$$

5.3.2 Lepton sector

First we consider the charged leptons; and then turn to neutrinos.

- *Charged leptons*

Charged leptons masses are determined by the same operators used in the case of the down quark sector $10^M \otimes \overline{5}^M \otimes \overline{5}^{H_d}$; using spectrum eqs(5.11,5.16), the appropriate operators which provide mass to charged leptons are

$$\begin{array}{l} (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}^M_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}^{H_d}_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{+,+})_{-t_5} \\ (10_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}^M_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}^{H_d}_{+,+})_{t_5} \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})_{-t_5} \end{array} \tag{5.41}$$

giving the lepton mass term $m^{ij} e^c_i L_j$ with mass matrix

$$m_{e,\mu,\tau} = v_d \begin{pmatrix} z_{1,1}\sigma_1 & z_{1,2}\sigma_1 & z_{1,3}\sigma_1 \\ z_{1,1}\sigma_2 & z_{1,2}\sigma_2 & z_{1,3}\sigma_2 \\ z_{3,1}\omega & z_{3,2}\omega & z_{3,3}\omega \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.42}$$

- *Neutrinos*

Right handed neutrinos are as in eq(5.28), they have negative R- parity. Dirac neutrino term is embedded in the coupling $\left(\nu^c_i \otimes \overline{5}^M\right) \otimes 5^{H_u}$ where the right neutrino ν^c_i is an SU_5 singlet; it allows a total neutrino mass matrix using see-saw I mechanism [18]. The invariant operators that give the Dirac neutrino in $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ model are

$$\begin{array}{l} x_{1,i}\,(\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}^M_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\ x_{2,i}\,(\vartheta_{0,0})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}^M_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{0,0})'_0 \end{array} \tag{5.43}$$

Using the $\mathbb{D}_4$ algebra rules and flavon VEV's, these couplings lead to

$$\begin{array}{l} x_{1,i} v_u \rho_0 L_i \nu^c_3 \\ x_{2,i} v_u \rho_2 L_i \nu^c_1 - x_{2,i} v_u \rho_1 L_i \nu^c_2 \end{array} \tag{5.44}$$

and then to a Dirac neutrino mass matrix as

$$m_D = v_u \begin{pmatrix} x_{2,1}\rho_2 & -x_{2,1}\rho_1 & x_{1,1}\rho_0 \\ x_{2,2}\rho_2 & -x_{2,2}\rho_1 & x_{1,2}\rho_0 \\ x_{2,3}\rho_2 & -x_{2,3}\rho_1 & x_{1,3}\rho_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.45}$$

The Majorana neutrino term is given by $M\nu_i^c\otimes\nu_j^c$; by using eqs(5.11,5.16), the Majorana neutrino couplings in $SU_5\times\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^\perp$ model are as follows

$$\begin{array}{l}(\vartheta_{+,-})_0\otimes(\vartheta_{+,-})_0\\(\vartheta_{0,0})_0\otimes(\vartheta_{0,0})_0\\(\vartheta_{+,-})_0\otimes(\vartheta_{0,0})_0\otimes(\vartheta_{0,0})_0'\end{array} \tag{5.46}$$

we can also add the singlet $(\vartheta_{-,+})_0$ as a correction of the last two operators. The operators in above (5.46) lead to

$$m\nu_3^c\nu_3^c \qquad , \qquad M\nu_1^c\nu_2^c \qquad , \qquad \lambda\ \nu_3^c(\nu_1^c\rho_1+\nu_2^c\rho_2) \tag{5.47}$$

and ends with a Majorana neutrino mass matrix like

$$m_{_M}=\begin{pmatrix}0 & M & \lambda\rho_1\\ M & 0 & \lambda\rho_2\\ \lambda\rho_1 & \lambda\rho_2 & m\end{pmatrix} \tag{5.48}$$

The general neutrino mass matrix is calculated using see-saw I mechanism; it reads as $\boldsymbol{M}_\nu=-m_D m_M^{-1}m_D^\top$; and leads to the following effective neutrino mass matrix

$$\boldsymbol{M}_\nu\simeq\xi_0\begin{pmatrix}m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,3}\\ m_{1,2} & m_{2,2} & m_{2,3}\\ m_{1,3} & m_{2,3} & m_{3,3}\end{pmatrix} \tag{5.49}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{rcl}m_{1,1} &=& \lambda^2x_{2,1}^2\rho_2^4-2x_{2,1}^2\rho_1\rho_2mM+2\lambda x_{2,1}\rho_2^2(\lambda x_{2,1}\rho_1^2-x_{1,1}M\rho_0)\\ && +(\lambda x_{2,1}\rho_1^2+x_{1,1}M\rho_0)^2\\ m_{2,2} &=& \lambda^2x_{2,2}^2\rho_2^4-2x_{2,2}^2\rho_1\rho_2mM+2\lambda x_{2,2}\rho_2^2(\lambda x_{2,2}\rho_1^2-x_{1,2}M\rho_0)\\ && +(\lambda x_{2,2}\rho_1^2+x_{1,2}M\rho_0)^2\\ m_{3,3} &=& \lambda^2x_{2,3}^2\rho_2^4-2x_{2,3}^2\rho_1\rho_2mM+2\lambda x_{2,3}\rho_2^2(\lambda x_{2,3}\rho_1^2-x_{1,3}M\rho_0)\\ && +(\lambda x_{2,3}\rho_1^2+x_{1,3}M\rho_0)^2\end{array} \tag{5.50}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}m_{1,2} &=& \lambda^2x_{2,1}x_{2,2}\rho_2^4-2x_{2,1}x_{2,2}\rho_1\rho_2mM\\ && +(\lambda x_{2,1}\rho_1^2+x_{1,1}\rho_0M)(\lambda x_{2,2}\rho_1^2+x_{1,2}\rho_0M)\\ && +\lambda\rho_2^2[2\lambda x_{2,1}x_{2,2}\rho_1^2-\rho_0M(x_{1,1}x_{2,2}+x_{2,1}x_{1,2})]\\ m_{1,3} &=& \lambda^2x_{2,1}x_{2,3}\rho_2^4-2x_{2,1}x_{2,3}\rho_1\rho_2mM\\ && +(\lambda x_{2,1}\rho_1^2+x_{1,1}\rho_0M)(\lambda x_{2,3}\rho_1^2+x_{1,3}\rho_0M)\\ && +\lambda\rho_2^2[2\lambda x_{2,1}x_{2,3}\rho_1^2-\rho_0M(x_{1,1}x_{2,3}+x_{2,1}x_{1,3})]\\ m_{2,3} &=& \lambda^2x_{2,2}x_{2,3}\rho_2^4-2x_{2,2}x_{2,3}\rho_1\rho_2mM\\ && +(\lambda x_{2,2}\rho_1^2+x_{1,2}\rho_0M)(\lambda x_{2,3}\rho_1^2+x_{1,3}\rho_0M)\\ && +\lambda\rho_2^2[2\lambda x_{2,2}x_{2,3}\rho_1^2-\rho_0M(x_{1,3}x_{2,2}+x_{2,3}x_{1,2})]\end{array} \tag{5.51}$$

and where we have set

$$\xi_0 = \frac{v_u^2}{M(mM - 2\lambda^2\rho_1\rho_2)} \tag{5.52}$$

To obtain neutrino mixing compatible with experiments we need a particular parametrization and some approximations on $\boldsymbol{M}_\nu$. To that purpose, recall that there are three approaches to mixing using: (i) the well know *Tribimaximal* (TBM) mixing matrix, (ii) *Bimaximal* (BM) and (iii) *Democratic* (DC); all of the TBM, BM and DC mixing matrices predict a zero value for the angle θ_{13}. However recent results reported by MINOS [24], Double Chooz [25],T2K [54], Daya Bay [55], and RENO [56] collaborations reaveled a non-zero θ_{13}; such non-zero θ_{13} has been recently subject of great interest; in particular by perturbation of the TBM mixing matrix [57].
To estimate the proper masses of the $\boldsymbol{M}_\nu$ matrix; we diagonalise it by using the unitary U_{TBM} TBM mixing matrix; we use the μ-τ symmetry requiring $m_{2,2} = m_{3,3},\ m_{1,2} = m_{1,3}$; as well as the condition $m_{2,3} = m_{1,1} + m_{1,2} - m_{2,2}$. So we have $\boldsymbol{M}_\nu^{diag} = U_{TBM}^\top \boldsymbol{M}_\nu U_{TBM}$ with

$$U_{TBM} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.53}$$

and therefore

$$\boldsymbol{M}_\nu^{diag} \simeq \xi_0 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.54}$$

with eigenvalues as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \xi_0(m_{1,1} - m_{1,2}) \\ \lambda_2 &= \xi_0\,(m_{1,1} + 2m_{1,2}) \\ \lambda_3 &= \xi_0\,(2m_{3,2} - m_{1,1} - m_{1,2}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

6 Conclusion and discussions

In this paper, we have developed a method based on characters of discrete group representations to study $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$- GUT models with dihedral monodromy symmetry. After having revisited the construction of $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ and $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_3 \times \left(U_1^\perp\right)^2$ models from the character representation view, we have derived three $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ models (referred here to as I, II and III) with curves spectrum respectively given by eqs(4.7-4.8), (4.11-4.12) and (4.14-4.15). These models follow from the three different ways of decomposing the irreducible $\mathbb{S}_4$- triplets in terms of irreducible representations of $\mathbb{D}_4$; see eqs (4.6,4.10,4.13); such richness may be interpreted as due to the fact that $\mathbb{D}_4$ has four

kinds of singlets with generator group characters given by the (p,q) pairs with $p,q=\pm 1$. Then we have focussed on the curve spectrum (4.7-4.8) of the first $SU_5\times\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^{\perp}$ model; and studied the derivation of a MSSM- like spectrum by using particular multiplicity values and turning on adequate fluxes. We have found that with the choice of: (i) top-quark family $\mathbf{10}_3$ as $(\mathbf{10}_{+-})_0$, transforming into a $\mathbb{D}_4$- singlet with $\chi^{(a,b)}$ character equal to $(1,-1)$; and (ii) a 5^{H_u} up-Higgs as $(5_{-,+})_0$, transforming into a different $\mathbb{D}_4$- singlet with character equal to $(-1,1)$; there is no tri-Yukawa couplings of the form

$$(10_{+,-})_0\otimes(10_{+,-})_0\otimes\left(5^{H_u}\right)_{++}$$

as far as $\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^{\perp}$ invariance is required; this makes $SU_5\times\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^{\perp}$ model with two quark generations accommodated into a $\mathbb{D}_4$- doublet non interesting phenomenologically. Monodromy invariant couplings require implementation of flavons $\vartheta_{p,q}$ by thinking of $5^{H_u}\sim(5_{-,+})_0\otimes(\vartheta_{-,+})_0$ leading therefore to a superpotential of order 4. The same property appears with the down-Higgs couplings where $\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^{\perp}$ invariance of $10_3\otimes\bar{5}_3^M\otimes\bar{5}^{H_d}$ requires: (α) a matter $\bar{5}_3^M\equiv(\bar{5}_{-,+}^M)_0$ in a $U_1^{\perp}$ chargeless $\mathbb{D}_4$- singlet with character $(-1,1)$; and (β) a curve $\bar{5}^{H_d}$ with a $\mathbb{D}_4$- character like $(\bar{5}_{-,-})_{+t_5}$ composed with a charged flavon $(\vartheta_{++})_{-t_5}$; that is as

$$(\bar{5}_{-,-})_{+t_5}\otimes(\vartheta_{++})_{-t_5}$$

By analysing the conditions that a $\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^{\perp}$-spectrum has to fulfill in order to have a tri-Yukawa coupling for top-quark family $\mathbf{10}_3$, we end with the constraint that the character of 5^{H_u} up-Higgs should be equal to $(1,1)$ as clearly seen on $10_{+,-}\otimes 10_{+,-}\otimes 5^{H_u}$. This constraint is valid even if 10_3 was chosen like $10_{+,+}$. By inspecting the spectrum of the three studied $SU_5\times\mathbb{D}_4\times U_1^{\perp}$ models; it results that the spectrum of the third model given by eqs(4.14-4.15) which allow tri- Yukawa coupling; for details on contents and couplings of models II and III; see appendix B.

7 Appendix A: Characters in $\mathbb{S}_4$-models

In this appendix, we give details on some useful properties of Γ-models studied in this paper; in particular on the representations of $\mathbb{S}_4$ and their characters.

7.1 Irreducible representations of $\mathbb{S}_4$

First, recall that $\mathbb{S}_4$ has five *irreducible* representations; as shown on the character formula $24=1^2+1'^2+2^2+3^2+3'^2$; these are the 1-dim representations including the trivial $\mathbf{1}$ and the sign $\epsilon=\mathbf{1}'$; a 2-dim representation $\mathbf{2}$; and the 3-dim representations $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{3}'$, obeying some "duality relation". This duality may be stated in different manners; but,

in simple words, it may be put in parallel with polar and axial vectors of 3-dim euclidian space. In the language of Young diagrams; these five irreducible representations are given by

$\mathbf{1}$:  , $\mathbf{2}$:  , $\mathbf{3}$:  (7.1)

and

$\mathbf{3'}$:  , $\mathbf{1'}$:  (7.2)

This diagrammatic description is very helpful in dealing with $\mathbb{S}_4$ representation theory [40, 41, 42]; it teaches us a set of useful information; in particular helpful data on the three following:

i) Expressions of (3.5)

In the representation 3 of the permutation group $\mathbb{S}_4$, the three x_i- weights in (3.5) read in terms of the t_i's as

$$\vec{x} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} t_1 - t_2 - t_3 + t_4 \\ t_1 + t_2 - t_3 - t_4 \\ t_1 - t_2 + t_3 - t_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_4 - t_2 - t_3 \\ x_4 - t_3 - t_4 \\ x_4 - t_4 - t_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.3}$$

where $x_4 = \frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4)$ is the completely symmetric term. The normalisation coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ is fixed by requiring the transformation $x_i = U_{ij}t_j$ as follows

$$U = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \det U = 1 \tag{7.4}$$

For the the representation $3'$, we have

$$\vec{x}' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}\begin{pmatrix} t_1 - 3t_2 + t_3 + t_4 \\ t_1 + t_2 - 3t_3 + t_4 \\ t_1 + t_2 + t_3 - 3t_4 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} x_4 - 2t_2 \\ x_4 - 2t_3 \\ x_4 - 2t_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.5}$$

The entries of these triplets are cyclically rotated by the (234) permutation.

ii) $\mathbb{S}_4$- *triplets as 3-cycle* (234)

The $\{|t_i\rangle\}$ and $\{|x_i\rangle\}$ weight bases are related by the orthogonal 5×5 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad |x_i\rangle = U_{ij}\,|t_j\rangle \tag{7.6}$$

with U as in (7.4); and then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t_1 &=& \frac{1}{2}\left(x_4+x_1+x_2+x_3\right) \\ t_2 &=& \frac{1}{2}\left(x_4-x_1+x_2-x_3\right) \\ t_3 &=& \frac{1}{2}\left(x_4-x_1-x_2+x_3\right) \\ t_4 &=& \frac{1}{2}\left(x_4+x_1-x_2-x_3\right) \end{array} \quad , \tag{7.7}$$

From these transformations, we learn $t_i = U_{ki} x_k$; and then $t_i \pm t_j = (U_{ki} \pm U_{kj})\, x_k$ which can be also expressed $t_i \pm t_j = V_{ij}^{\pm kl} X_{kl}^{\pm}$. Similar relations can be written down for $\{|x_i'\rangle\}$.

7.2 Characters

The discrete symmetry group $\mathbb{S}_4$ model has *24* elements arranged into five conjugacy classes $\mathfrak{C}_1, ..., \mathfrak{C}_5$ as on table (7.8); it has five irreducible representations $\boldsymbol{R}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{R}_5$ with dimensions given by the relation $24 = 1^2 + 1^{2\prime} + 2^2 + 3^2 + 3^{2\prime}$; their character table $\chi_{ij} = \chi_{\boldsymbol{R}_j}(\mathfrak{C}_i)$ is as given below

$\mathfrak{C}_i \backslash$irrep $\boldsymbol{R}_j$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{1}}$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{3'}}$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{2}}$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{3}}$	χ_{ϵ}	number
$\mathfrak{C}_1 \equiv$ e	1	3	2	3	1	1
$\mathfrak{C}_2 \equiv (\alpha\beta)$	1	−1	0	1	−1	6
$\mathfrak{C}_3 \equiv (\alpha\beta)(\gamma\delta)$	1	−1	2	−1	1	3
$\mathfrak{C}_4 \equiv (\alpha\beta\gamma)$	1	0	−1	0	1	8
$\mathfrak{C}_5 \equiv (\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)$	1	1	0	−1	−1	6

(7.8)

The $\mathbb{S}_4$ group has *3* non commuting generators (a, b, c) which can be chosen as given by the 2- , 3- and 4- cycles obeying amongst others the cyclic relations $a^2 = b^3 = c^4 = I_{id}$. In our approach the character of these generators have been used in the engineering of GUT models with $\mathbb{S}_4$ monodromy; they are as follows

χ_{ij}	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{1}}$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{3'}}$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{2}}$	$\chi_{\boldsymbol{3}}$	χ_{ϵ}
a	1	−1	0	1	−1
b	1	0	−1	0	1
c	1	1	0	−1	−1

(7.9)

In the $\mathrm{SU}_5 \times \mathbb{S}_4$ theory considered in paper, the various curves of the spectrum of the GUT- model belong to $\mathbb{S}_4$- multiplets which can be decomposed into irreducible representation of $\mathbb{S}_4$. In doing so, one ends with curves indexed by the characters of the generators of $\mathbb{S}_4$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \boldsymbol{4} &=& \boldsymbol{1}_{(1,1,1)} \oplus \boldsymbol{3}_{(1,0,-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{6} &=& \boldsymbol{3}_{(1,0,-1)} \oplus \boldsymbol{3}'_{(-1,0,1)} \end{array} \tag{7.10}$$

8 Appendix B: Results on $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ models II & III

In this appendix, we collect results regarding the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ models II and III of subsections § 4.2.2 and § 4.2.3. In addition to higher order terms, we also study when couplings like

Couplings	SU_5	$\mathbb{D}_4$	$U_1^\perp$	Parity
$10_i \otimes 10_j \otimes 5_{H_u}$	1	$1_{+,+}$	0	+
$10_i \otimes \overline{5}_j \otimes \overline{5}_{H_d}$	1	$1_{+,+}$	0	+
$\nu_i^c \otimes \overline{5}_M \otimes 5_{H_u}$	1	$1_{+,+}$	0	+
$m\nu_i^c \otimes \nu_j^c$	1	$1_{+,+}$	0	+

(8.1)

can be generated.

8.1 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ model II

The spectrum of the $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ model II under breaking $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ to MSSM is given by:

Curve in D_4 model II	$U_1^\perp$	Spectrum in MSSM
$10_1 = 10_{+,-}$	0	$M_1 Q_L + u_L^c(M_1 - N - P) + e_L^c(M_1 + N + P)$
$10_2 = 10_{-,+}$	0	$M_2 Q_L + u_L^c M_2 + e_L^c M_2$
$10_3 = 10_{+,-}$	0	$M_3 Q_L + u_L^c M_3 + e_L^c M_3$
$10_4 = 10_{+,+}$	0	$M_4 Q_L + u_L^c(M_4 + P) + e_L^c(M_4 - P)$
$10_5 = 10_{+,+}$	1	$M_5 Q_L + u_L^c(M_5 + N) + e_L^c(M_5 - N)$
$5_1 = 5_{+,-}$	0	$M_1' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_1' + N + P)\overline{L}$
$5_2 = 5_{-,+}$	0	$M_2' \overline{d}_L^c + M_2' \overline{L}$
$5_3 = 5_{-,+}$	0	$M_3' \overline{D}_d + (M_3' - N)\overline{H}_d$
$5_4 = 5_{+,-}$	0	$M_4' D_u + (M_4' - P) H_u$
$5_5 = 5_{-,+}$	0	$M_5' \overline{d}_L^c + M_5' \overline{L}$
$5_6 = 5_{+,-}$	0	$M_6' \overline{d}_L^c + M_6' \overline{L}$
$5_7 = 5_{+,-}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_7' \overline{d_L^c} + (M_7' - P)\overline{L}$
$5_8 = 5_{-,+}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_8' \overline{d_L^c} + M_8' \overline{L}$
$5_9 = 5_{+,-}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_9' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_9' - N)\overline{L}$
$5_{10} = 5_{+,+}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_{10}' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_{10}' + N + P)\overline{L}$

(8.2)

To get 3 generations of matter curves and 2 Higgs doublets of MSSM, taking into account the constraints in subsection (5.1), we make the following choice of the flux parameters;

$P = -N = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 = M_2 = M_3 = M_4 = -M_5 &= 1 \\ M'_1 = M'_3 = M'_4 = M'_8 = M'_{10} &= 0 \\ M'_2 = M'_5 = M'_6 = M'_9 = -M'_7 &= -1 \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

Using the property $\sum_i M_5^i = -\sum_i M_{10}^i = -3$, the localization of Higgs curves are as $5^{H_u} = 5_{-,+}$, $\overline{5}^{H_d} = 5_{+,-}$; and the third generation like $10_1 = 10^{M_3}$; and $5_2 = 5^{M_3}$. The distribution of the matter curves is collected in the following table:

Curve in D_4 model II	$\mathrm{U}_1^\perp$	Spectrum in MSSM	Z_2 parity
$10_1 = 10^{M_3} = (10_{+,-})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	$-$
$10_2 = (10_{-,+})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	$-$
$10_3 = (10_{+,-})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	$-$
$10_4 = (10_{+,+})_0$	0	$Q_L + 2u_L^c$	$+$
$10_5 = (10_{+,+})_{t_5}$	1	$-Q_L - 2u_L^c$	$-$
$5_1 = (5_{+,-})_0$	0	$-$	$+$
$5_2 = 5^{M_3} = (5_{-,+})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_L^c - \overline{L}$	$-$
$5_3 = (5_{-,+}^{H_u})_0$	0	H_u	$+$
$5_4 = (5_{+,-}^{H_d})_0$	0	$-\overline{H}_d$	$+$
$5_5 = 5^{M_1} = (5_{-,+})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_L^c - \overline{L}$	$-$
$5_6 = 5^{M_2} = (5_{+,-})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_L^c - \overline{L}$	$-$
$5_7 = (5_{+,-})_{-t_5}$	-1	$\overline{d}_L^c$	$+$
$5_8 = (5_{-,+})_{-t_5}$	-1	$-$	$+$
$5_9 = (5_{+,-})_{-t_5}$	-1	$-\overline{d}_L^c$	$+$
$5_{10} = (5_{+,+})_{-t_5}$	-1	$-$	$+$

(8.4)

From this spectrum, we learn that we have three families of fermions, an extra vector like pairs, $d_L^c + \overline{d}_L^c$, $Q_L + \overline{Q}_L$; and two $2(u_L^c + \overline{u}_L^c)$ which are expected to get a large mass if some of the singlet states acquire large VEV's. In this $\mathbb{D}_4$ model; there are only singlet flavons transforming in the representations $1_{+,+}$, $1_{+,-}$, $1_{-,+}$; with and without t_5 charges, they are classified as $(\vartheta_{p,q})_{0,\pm t_5}$ with $p, q = \pm 1$; they lead to the following order 4-couplings

- *Up-type quark Yukawa couplings*

The allowed Yukawa couplings that are invariant under $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^{\perp}$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
&(10_{-,+})_0 \otimes (10_{-,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
&(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
&(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{-,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \\
&(10_{-,+})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_u}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0
\end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

- *Down-type quark Yukawa couplings*

The Yukawa couplings down-type are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
&(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
&(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \\
&(10_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \\
&(10_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \\
&(10_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
&(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
&(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
&(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0
\end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

8.2 $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4$ model III

The spectrum of the model $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ Model III is as follows

Curves in D_4 model III	$U_1^\perp$	Spectrum in MSSM
$10_1 = 10_{+,+}$	0	$M_1 Q_L + u_L^c(M_1 - N - P) + e_L^c(M_1 + N + P)$
$10_2 = 10_{-,-}$	0	$M_2 Q_L + u_L^c M_2 + e_L^c M_2$
$10_3 = 10_{+,-}$	0	$M_3 Q_L + u_L^c M_3 + e_L^c M_3$
$10_4 = 10_{+,+}$	0	$M_4 Q_L + u_L^c(M_4 + P) + e_L^c(M_4 - P)$
$10_5 = 10_{+,+}$	1	$M_5 Q_L + u_L^c(M_5 + N) + e_L^c(M_5 - N)$
$5_1 = 5_{+,+}$	0	$M_1' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_1' + N + P)\overline{L}$
$5_2 = 5_{-,-}$	0	$M_2' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_2' - \kappa_1 P)\overline{L}$
$5_3 = 5_{-,+}$	0	$M_3' D_u + (M_3' - N)\overline{H}_d$
$5_4 = 5_{+,+}$	0	$M_4' \overline{D}_d + (M_4' - \kappa_2 P) H_u$
$5_5 = 5_{-,-}$	0	$M_5' \overline{d}_L^c + M_5' \overline{L}$
$5_6 = 5_{+,-}$	0	$M_6' \overline{d}_L^c + M_6' \overline{L}$
$5_7 = 5_{+,+}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_7' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_7' - \kappa_1 P)\overline{L}$
$5_8 = 5_{-,-}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_8' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_8' - \kappa_2 P)\overline{L}$
$5_9 = 5_{+,-}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_9' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_9' - N)\overline{L}$
$5_{10} = 5_{+,+}^{t_5}$	-1	$M_{10}' \overline{d}_L^c + (M_{10}' + N + P)\overline{L}$

(8.7)

The 3 generations of fermions and the 2 Higgs H_u, H_d are obtained by taking the fluxes like $N = -P = -1$ with $\kappa_1 = 0$, $\kappa_2 = 1$; and

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 = M_2 = M_3 = M_4 = -M_5 &= 1 \\ M_1' = M_3' = M_4' = M_7' = M_{10}' &= 0 \\ M_2' = M_5' = M_6' = M_8' = -M_9' &= -1 \end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

We choose the Higgs curves as $5^{H_u} = (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0$, $5^{H_d} = (5_{-,+}^{H_d})_0$ and the third 10^{M_3}, $\overline{5}^{M_3}$ generation as follow

Curves in D_4 model III	$\mathrm{U}_1^\perp$	Spectrum in MSSM	Z_2 parity
$10_1 = 10^{M_3} = (10_{+,+})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	$-$
$10_2 = (10_{-,-})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	$-$
$10_3 = (10_{+,-})_0$	0	$Q_L + u_L^c + e_L^c$	$-$
$10_4 = (10_{+,+})_0$	0	$Q_L + 2e_L^c$	$+$
$10_5 = (10_{+,+})_{t_5}$	1	$-Q_L - 2e_L^c$	$-$
$5_1 = (5_{+,+})_0$	0	$-$	$+$
$5_2 = 5^{M_3} = (5_{-,-})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_L^c - \overline{L}$	$-$
$5_3 = (5_{-,+}^{H_d})_0$	0	$-\overline{H}_d$	$+$
$5_4 = (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0$	0	H_u	$+$
$5_5 = 5^{M_1} = (5_{-,-})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_L^c - \overline{L}$	$-$
$5_6 = 5^{M_2} = (5_{+,-})_0$	0	$-\overline{d}_L^c - \overline{L}$	$-$
$5_7 = (5_{+,+})_{-t_5}$	-1	$-$	$+$
$5_8 = (5_{-,-})_{-t_5}$	-1	$-\overline{d}_L^c$	$+$
$5_9 = (5_{+,-})_{-t_5}$	-1	$\overline{d}_L^c$	$+$
$5_{10} = (5_{+,+})_{-t_5}$	-1	$-$	$+$

(8.9)

- *Up-type quark Yukawa couplings*

The allowed Yukawa couplings that are invariant under $\mathbb{D}_4 \times U_1^\perp$ and preserving parity symmetry are:

$$(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0 \tag{8.10}$$

for third generation; and

$$\begin{array}{l} (10_{-,-})_0 \otimes (10_{-,-})_0 \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0 \\ (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0 \\ (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (10_{-,-})_0 \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,-})_0 \\ (10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \\ (10_{-,-})_0 \otimes (10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5_{+,+}^{H_u})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \end{array} \tag{8.11}$$

- *Down-type quark Yukawa couplings*

The Yukawa coupling down-type are:

$$(10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_0 \otimes (5_{-,+}^{H_d})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \tag{8.12}$$

for third generation; and

$$
\begin{array}{l}
(10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{+,-})_0 \\
(10_{+,+})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,-})_0 \\
(10_{-,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
(10_{-,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0 \\
(10_{-,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0 \\
(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0 \\
(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{-,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0 \\
(10_{+,-})_0 \otimes (\overline{5}_{+,-})_0 \otimes (5^{H_d}_{-,+})_0 \otimes (\vartheta_{-,+})_0
\end{array}
\tag{8.13}
$$

For the neutrino sectors in both models II and III, the couplings are embedded in the Dirac and Majorana operators as for model I; their mass matrix depend on the choice of the localization of right neutrino in the singlet curves $\vartheta_{\pm,\pm}$.

9 Appendix C: Monodromy and flavor symmetry

We begin by recalling that in F-theory GUTs, quantum numbers of particle fields and their gauge invariant interactions descend from an affine E_8 singularity in the internal Calabi-Yau Geometry: $CY4 \sim \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}_3$. The observed gauge bosons, the 4D matter generations and the Yukawa couplings of standard model arise from symmetry breaking of the underlying E_8 gauge symmetry of compactification of F- theory to 4D space time. In this appendix, we use known results on F-theory GUTs to exhibit the link between non abelian monodromy and flavor symmetry which relates the three flavor generations of SM. First, we briefly describe how abelian monodromy like $\mathbb{Z}_p$ appear in F-GUT models; then we study the extension to non abelian discrete symmetries such the dihedral $\mathbb{D}_4$ we have considered in present study.

9.1 Abelian monodromy

One of the interesting field realisations of the F-theory approach to GUT is given by the remarkable $SU_5 \times SU_5^{\perp}$ model with basic features encoded in the internal geometry; in particular the two following useful ones: (i) the $SU_5 \times SU_5^{\perp}$ invariance follows from a particular breaking way of E_8; and (ii) the full spectrum of the field representations of the model is as in eq(2.1). From the internal CY4 geometry view, SU_5 and $SU_5^{\perp}$ have interpretation in terms of singularities; the SU_5 lives on the so called GUT surface $\mathcal{S}_{GUT}$; it appears in terms of the singular locus of the following Tate form of the elliptic fibration $y^2 = x^3 + b_5xy + b_4x^2z + b_3yz^2 + b_2xz^3 + b_0z^5$; it is the gauge symmetry visible in 4D

space time of the GUT model. Quite similarly, the $SU_5^{\perp}$ may be also imagined to have an analogous geometric representation in the internal geometry; but with different physical interpretation; it lives as well on a complex surface $\mathcal{S}'$; another divisor of the base $\mathcal{B}_3$ of the complex four dimensional elliptic CY4 fibration. Obviously these two divisors are different, but intersect. Here, we want to focus on aspects of the representations of $SU_5^{\perp}$ appearing in eq(2.1) and too particulary on the associated matter curves Σ_{t_i}, $\Sigma_{t_i+t_j}$, $\Sigma_{t_i-t_j}$; which are nicely described in the spectral cover method using an extra spectral parameter s. If thinking of the hidden $SU_5^{\perp}$ in terms of a broken symmetry by an abelian flux or Higgsing down to its Cartan subgroup, the resulting symmetry of the GUT model becomes $U(1)^4 \times SU_5$ with[4]

$$\begin{array}{rcl} U(1)^4 & = & U(1)_1 \times U(1)_2 \times U(1)_3 \times U(1)_4 \\ & \equiv & \prod_{i=1}^{4} U(1)_i \end{array} \tag{9.1}$$

The extra $U(1)$'s in the breaking $U(1)^4 \times SU_5$ put constraints on the superpotential couplings of the effective low energy model; the simultaneous existence of $U(1)^4$ is phenomenologically undesirable since it does not allow a tree-level Yukawa coupling for the top quark. This ambiguity is overcome by imposing abelian monodromies among the $U(1)$'s allowing the emergence of a rank one fermion mass matrix structure; see eqs(9.4-9.5) given below.

Following the presentation of section 2 of this paper, the spectral covers describing the above invariance are given by polynomials with an affine variable s as in eq(2.8); see also (2.9,2.12,2.13). To fix the ideas, we consider monodromy properties of 10-plets Σ_{t_i} encoded in the spectral cover equation

$$\mathcal{C}_5 : b_5 + b_3 s^2 + b_2 s^3 + b_4 s^4 + b_0 s^5 = 0 \tag{9.2}$$

The location of the seven branes on GUT surface associated to this SU_5 representation is given by $b_5 = 0$. Using the method of [18, 27, 30, 31], the possible abelian monodromies are $\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbb{Z}_4$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$; they lead to factorizations of the $\mathcal{C}_5$ spectral cover as

$$\mathcal{C}_2 \times (\mathcal{C}_1)^3 \quad , \quad \mathcal{C}_3 \times (\mathcal{C}_1)^2 \quad , \quad \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1 \quad , \quad \mathcal{C}_3 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \quad , \quad (\mathcal{C}_2)^2 \times \mathcal{C}_1 \tag{9.3}$$

and to the respective identification of the weights $\{t_1, t_2\}$, $\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$, $\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$, $\{t_1, t_2\} \cup \{t_3, t_4, t_5\}$ and $\{t_1, t_2\} \cup \{t_3, t_4\}$.

[4] Recall the three useful relations: (a) Let $\vec{H} = (H_1, ..., H_4)$ the generators of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_i$ charge factors and $E_{\pm\alpha_i}$ the step operators associated with the simple roots $\vec{\alpha}_i$, then we have $[E_{+\alpha_i}, E_{-\alpha_i}] = \vec{\alpha}_i.\vec{H}$. (b) If denoting by $|\vec{\mu}\rangle$ a weight vector of the fundamental representation of $\mathrm{SU}_5^{\perp}$, then we have $\vec{\alpha}_i.\vec{H}\,|\vec{\mu}\rangle = \lambda_i\,|\vec{\mu}\rangle$ with $\lambda_i = \vec{\alpha}_i.\vec{\mu}$. (c) using the 4 usual fundamental weight vectors $\vec{\omega}_i$ dual to the 4 simple roots, the 5 weight vectors $\{\vec{\mu}_k\}$ of the representation are: $\vec{\mu}_1 = \vec{\omega}_1$, $\vec{\mu}_2 = \vec{\omega}_2 - \vec{\omega}_1$, $\vec{\mu}_3 = \vec{\omega}_3 - \vec{\omega}_2$, $\vec{\mu}_4 = \vec{\omega}_4 - \vec{\omega}_3$, $\vec{\mu}_5 = -\vec{\omega}_4$.

The algebraic equations for the matter curves Σ_{t_i}, $\Sigma_{t_i+t_j}$, $\Sigma_{t_i-t_j}$ in terms of the t_i weights associated with the $SU_5^{\perp}$ fundamental representation are respectively given by $t_i = 0$; $(t_i + t_j)_{i<j} = 0$ and $\pm (t_i - t_j)_{i<j} = 0$; they are denoted like 10_{t_i}, $\bar{5}_{t_i+t_j}$ and $1_{\pm(t_i-t_j)}$; see eq(2.2).

As a first step to approach non abelian monodromies we are interested in here, it is helpful to notice the two useful following things: (a) the homology 2-cycles in the CY4 underlying $SU_5 \times U(1)^4$ invariance has monodromies captured by a finite discrete group that can be used as a constraint in the modeling. (b) from the view of phenomenology, these monodromies must be at least $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in order to have top- quark Yukawa coupling at tree level as noticed before. Notice moreover that under this $\mathbb{Z}_2$, matter multiplets of the SU_5 model split into two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ sectors[5]: even and odd; for example the two tenplets $\{10_{t_1}, 10_{t_2}\}$ are interchanged under $t_1 \leftrightarrow t_2$; the corresponding eigenstates are given by $10_{t_\pm}$ with eigenvalues ± 1. By requiring the identification $t_1 \leftrightarrow t_2$, naively realised by setting $t_1 = t_2 = t$, matter couplings in the model get restricted; therefore the off diagonal tree level Yukawa coupling

$$10_{t_1}.10_{t_2}.5_{-t_1-t_2} \tag{9.4}$$

which is invariant under $SU_5 \times U(1)^4$, becomes after $t_1 \leftrightarrow t_2$ identification a diagonal top-quark interaction invariant under $\mathbb{Z}_2$ monodromy. The resulting Yukawa coupling reads as follows [27, 30, 31]

$$10_t.10_t.5_{-2t} \tag{9.5}$$

the other diagonal coupling $10_0.10_0.5_{-2t}$ is forbidden by the U(1) symmetry; *see footnote 5*. Notice that for bottom- quark the typical Yukawa coupling $10_t.\bar{5}_{t_i+t_j}.\bar{5}_{t_k+t_l}$ is allowed by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ while $10_0.\bar{5}_{t_i+t_j}.\bar{5}_{t_k+t_l}$ is forbidden.

In this monodromy invariant theory, the symmetry of the model is given by $SU_5 \times U(1)^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$; it may be interpreted as the invariance that remains after taking the coset with respect to $\mathbb{Z}_2$; that is by a factorisation of type $G = H \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ with $H = G/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Indeed, starting from $SU_5 \times U(1)^4$ and performing the two following operations: (i) use the traceless property of the *fundamental* representation of $SU_5^{\perp}$ to think of (9.1) like

$$U(1)^4 = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{5} U(1)_{t_i}\right)/\mathcal{J} \tag{9.6}$$

with $\mathcal{J} = \{t_i \ \mid \ t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 = 0\} \simeq U(1)_{diag}$; this property is a rephrasing of the usual $U(5)$ factorisation; i.e $SU(5) = \frac{U(5)}{U(1)}$. (ii) substitute the product $U(1)_{t_1} \times U(1)_{t_2}$ by the reduced abelian group $U(1)_t \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ where monodromy group has been explicitly exhibited. In this way of doing, one disposes of a discrete group that may be

[5] In general we have two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ eigenstates: $t_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(t_1 \pm t_2)$ with eigenvalues ± 1. While any function of t_+ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant, only those functions depending on $(t_-)^2$ which are symmetric with respect to $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

promoted to a symmetry of the fields spectrum. To that purpose, we need two more steps: first explore all allowed discrete monodromy groups; and second study how to link these groups to flavor symmetry. For the extension of above $\mathbb{Z}_2$, a similar method can be used to build other prototypes; in particular models with abelian discrete symmetries like $SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-k} \times \mathbb{Z}_k$ with $k = 3, 4, 5$; or more generally as

$$SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-p-q} \times \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q \tag{9.7}$$

where $1 < p + q \leq 5$ and $\mathbb{Z}_1 \equiv I_{id}$, $\mathbb{Z}_0 \equiv I_{id}$. Notice that the discrete groups in eq(9.7) are natural extensions of those of the theories with $SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-k} \times \mathbb{Z}_k$ symmetry; and that the condition $p + q \leq 5$ on allowed abelian monodromies is intimately related with the Weyl symmetry $\mathcal{W}_{SU_5^\perp}$ of $SU_5^\perp$. Therefore, we end with the conclusion that the $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ abelian discrete groups in above relation are in fact particular subgroups of the non abelian symmetric group $\mathcal{W}_{SU_5^\perp} \simeq \mathbb{S}_5$.

9.2 Non abelian monodromy and flavor symmetry

To begin notice that the appearance of abelian discrete symmetry in the SU_5 based GUT models with invariance (9.7) is remarkable and suggestive. It is remarkable because these finite discrete symmetries have a geometric interpretation in the internal CY4; and constitutes then a prediction of F- theory GUT. It is suggestive since such kind of discrete groups, especially their non abelian generalisation, are highly desirable in phenomenology; particularly in playing the role of a flavor symmetry. In this regards, it is interesting to recall that it is quite well established that neutrino flavors are mixed; and this property requires non abelian discrete group symmetries like the alternating $\mathbb{A}_4$ group which has been subject to intensive research during last decade [32, 33, 34, 52, 53]. Following the conjecture of [15, 16], non-abelian discrete symmetries may be reached in F- theory GUT by assuming the existence of a non abelian flux breaking the $SU_5^\perp$ down to a non abelian group $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{W}_{SU_5^\perp}$. In this view, one may roughly think about the $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ group of (9.7) as special symmetries of a family of SU_5 based GUT models with invariance given by

$$SU_5 \times U(1)^{5-k} \times \Gamma_k \tag{9.8}$$

where now Γ_k is a subgroup of $\mathbb{S}_5$ that can be a non abelian discrete group. In this way of doing, one then distinguishes several SU_5 GUT models with non abelian discrete symmetries classified by the number of surviving $U(1)$'s. In presence of no $U(1)$ symmetry, we have prototypes like $SU_5 \times \mathbb{S}_5$ and $SU_5 \times \mathbb{A}_5$; while for a theory with one $U(1)$, we

have symmetries as follows

$$\begin{array}{l} SU_5 \times U(1) \times \mathbb{S}_4 \\ SU_5 \times U(1) \times \mathbb{A}_4 \\ SU_5 \times U(1) \times \mathbb{D}_4 \end{array} \tag{9.9}$$

where the alternating $\mathbb{A}_4$ and dihedral $\mathbb{D}_4$ are the usual subgroups of $\mathbb{S}_4$ itself contained in $\mathbb{S}_5$. In the case with two $U(1)$'s, monodromy gets reduced like $SU_5 \times U(1)^2 \times \mathbb{S}_3$. Moreover, by using non abelian discrete monodromy groups Γ_k, one ends with an important feature; these discrete groups have, in addition to trivial representations, higher dimensional representations that are candidates to host more than one matter generation. Under transformations of Γ_k; the generations get in general mixed. Therefore the non abelian Γ_k's in particular those having 3- and/or 2-dimensional irreducible representations may be naturally interpreted in terms of flavor symmetry.

In the end of this section, we would like to add a comment on the splitting spectral cover construction regarding non abelian discrete monodromy groups like $\mathbb{A}_4$ and $\mathbb{D}_4$. In the models (9.9), the spectral cover for the fundamental $\mathcal{C}_5$ is factorised like $\mathcal{C}_5 = \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$ and similarly for $\mathcal{C}_{10}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{20}$ respectively associated with the antisymmetric and the adjoint of $SU_5^\perp$. In the $\mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$ splitting, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{C}_4 & = & a_5 s^4 + a_4 s^3 + a_3 s^2 + a_2 s + a_1 \\ \mathcal{C}_1 & = & a_7 s + a_6 \end{array} \tag{9.10}$$

where the a_i's are complex holomorphic sections. For the generic case where the coefficients a_i are free, the splitted spectral cover $\mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$ has an $\mathbb{S}_4$ monodromy. To have splitted spectral covers with monodromies given by the subgroups $\mathbb{A}_4$ and $\mathbb{D}_4$, one needs to put constraints on the a_i's; these conditions have been studied in [14, 16]; they are non linear relations given by Galois theory. Indeed, starting from $SU_5 \times SU_5^\perp$ model and borrowing tools from [16], the breaking of $SU_5 \times SU_5^\perp$ down to $SU_5 \times \mathbb{D}_4 \times U(1)$ model considered in this paper may be imagined in steps as follows: first breaking $SU_5^\perp$ to subgroup $SU_4^\perp \times U(1)$ by an abelian flux; then breaking the $SU_4^\perp$ part to the discrete group $\mathbb{S}_4$ by a non-abelian flux as conjectured in [15, 16]; deformations of this flux lead to subgroups of $\mathbb{S}_4$. To obtain the constraints describing the $\mathbb{D}_4$ splitted spectral cover descending from $\mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$, we use Galois theory; they are given by a set of two constraints on the holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$; and are obtained as follows:

(i) the first constraint comes from the discriminant $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_4}$ of the spectral cover $\mathcal{C}_4$ which should not be a perfect square; that is $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_4} \neq \delta^2$. The explicit expression of the discriminant of $\mathcal{C}_4$ has been computed in literature; so we have

$$108 a_0 (\lambda a_6^2 + 4 a_1 a_7)(\kappa^2 a_7^2 + a_0(\lambda a_6^2 + 4 a_1 a_7))^2 \neq \delta^2 \tag{9.11}$$

where dependence into a_6 and a_7 is due to solving the traceless condition $b_1 = 0$ in $\mathcal{C}_5 = \mathcal{C}_4 \times \mathcal{C}_1$. (ii) the second constraint is given by a condition on the cubic resolvent which should be like $R_{\mathcal{C}_4}(s)|_{s=0} = 0$. The expression of $R_{\mathcal{C}_4}(s)$ is known; it leads to

$$a_2^2 a_7 = a_1 \left(a_0 a_6^2 + 4 a_3 a_7\right) \tag{9.12}$$

where a_0 is a parameter introduced by the solving the traceless condition $b_1 = 0$; for explicit details see [16].

Acknowledgement 1 *Saidi thanks ICTP Trieste- Italy for kind hospitality where part of this work has been done.*

References

[1] C. Vafa, *Evidence for F theory*, Nucl. Phys. B 469 (1996) 403 arXiv:hep-th/9602022.

[2] R. Donagi and M. Wijnholt, *Model Building with F-Theory*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **15** (2011) 1237 [arXiv:0802.2969 [hep-th]].

[3] C. Beasley, J. J. Heckman and C. Vafa, *GUTs and Exceptional Branes in F-theory - I*, JHEP **0901** (2009) 058 [arXiv:0802.3391 [hep-th]].

[4] C. Beasley, J. J. Heckman and C. Vafa, *GUTs and Exceptional Branes in F-theory - II: Experimental Predictions*, JHEP **0901** (2009) 059 [arXiv:0806.0102 [hep-th]].

[5] T. Weigand, *Lectures on F-theory compactifications and model building*, Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 214004 [arXiv:1009.3497 [hep-th]].

[6] R. Donagi and M. Wijnholt, *Higgs Bundles and UV Completion in F-Theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **326** (2014) 287 [arXiv:0904.1218 [hep-th]].

[7] R. Donagi and M. Wijnholt, *Breaking GUT Groups in F-Theory*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **15** (2011) 1523 [arXiv:0808.2223 [hep-th]].

[8] R. Blumenhagen, T. W. Grimm, B. Jurke and T. Weigand, *Global F-theory GUTs*, Nucl. Phys. B **829** (2010) 325 [arXiv:0908.1784].

[9] J. Tate, "Algorithm for Determining the Type of a Singular Fiber in an Elliptic Pencil," in Modular Functions of One Variable IV, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 476, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1975).

[10] Sven Krippendorf, Sakura Schafer-Nameki, Jin-Mann Wong, arXiv:1507.05961,

[11] F. Denef, *Les Houches Lectures on Constructing String Vacua*, arXiv:0803.1194.

[12] J. Marsano, N. Saulina and S. Schafer-Nameki, *Monodromies, Fluxes, and Compact Three-Generation F-theory GUTs*, JHEP **0908** (2009) 046 [arXiv:0906.4672 [hep-th]].

[13] Florent Baume, Eran Palti, Sebastian Schwieger, *On E_8 and F-Theory GUTs*, arXiv:1502.03878,

[14] Athanasios Karozasy, Stephen F. King, George K. Leontaris and Andrew Meadowcroft, *Discrete Family Symmetry from F-Theory GUTs*, [arXiv:1406.6290v4 [hep-ph]],

[15] I. Antoniadis and G. K. Leontaris, *Neutrino mass textures from F-theory*, Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (2013) 2670 [arXiv:1308.1581 [hep-th]].

[16] Athanasios Karozasy, Stephen F. King, George K. Leontaris, Andrew K.Meadowcroft, *Phenomenological implications of a minimal F-theory GUT with discrete symmetry*, [arXiv1505.009337v3 [hep-th]].

[17] Anshuman Maharana, Eran Palti, *Models of Particle Physics from Type IIB String Theory and F-theory*: A Review, arXiv:1212.0555,

[18] J. J. Heckman, A. Tavanfar and C. Vafa, *The Point of E(8) in F-theory GUTs*, JHEP **1008** (2010) 040 [arXiv:0906.0581 [hep-th]].

[19] Hirotaka Hayashi, Teruhiko Kawano, Yoichi Tsuchiya, Taizan Watari, HEP 1008:036, 2010, [arXiv:0910.2762 [hep-th]].

[20] A. Font, L. E. Ibanez, F. Marchesano and D. Regalado, *Non-perturbative effects and Yukawa hierarchies in F-theory SU(5) Unification*, JHEP **1303** (2013) 140 [Erratum-ibid. **1307** (2013) 036] [arXiv:1211.6529 [hep-th]].

[21] S. Cecotti, M. C. N. Cheng, J. J. Heckman and C.Vafa, arXiv:0910.0477[hep-th].

[22] L. Aparicio, A. Font, L. E. Ibanez and F. Marchesano, JHEP 1108 (2011)152 [arXiv:1104.2609[hep-th]].

[23] Asan Damanik, *Non zero θ_{13} and Neutrino Masses from Modified TBM*, EJTP 11, No. 31 (2014)125–130P.

[24] Adamson et. al. (MINOS Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 181802 (2011), arXiv:1108.0015[hep-ex]. doi: 10.1103/Phys Rev Lett.107.181802

[25] Y. Abe et al. [DOUBLE-CHOOZ Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 131801 (2012) [arxiv: 1112.6353 [hep-ex]].

[26] H. Hayashi, T. Kawano, Y. Tsuchiya and T. Watari, *Flavor Structure in F-theory Compactifications*, JHEP **1008** (2010) 036 [arXiv:0910.2762 [hep-th]]

[27] I. Antoniadis and G. K. Leontaris, *Building SO(10) models from F-theory*, JHEP **1208** (2012) 001 [arXiv:1205.6930 [hep-th]].

[28] Patrick Morandi, *Field and Galois Theory*, Springer,1996.

[29] Michael Artin, *Algebra*, Prentice-Hall Inc.1991.

[30] J. C. Callaghan, S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris and G. G. Ross, *Towards a Realistic F-theory GUT*, [arXiv:1109.1297[hep-th]].

[31] Emilian Dudas and Eran Palti, *On hypercharge flux and exotics in F-theory GUTs*, [arXiv:1007.1297[hep-th]].

[32] S. F. King and C. Luhn, *Neutrino Mass and Mixing with Discrete Symmetry*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **76** (2013) 056201 [arXiv:1301.1340 [hep-ph]].

[33] Guido Altarelli, Ferruccio Feruglio, *Discrete Flavor Symmetries and Models of Neutrino Mixing*, [arXiv:1000.0211[hep-th]].

[34] S. F. King, A. Merle, S. Morisi, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, *Neutrino Mass and Mixing: from Theory to Experiment*, New J. Phys. **16** (2014) 045018 [arXiv:1402.4271 [hep-ph]].

[35] H. Hayashi, T. Kawano, R. Tatar and T. Watari, *Codimension-3 Singularities and Yukawa Couplings in F-theory*, [arXiv:0901.4941 [hep-th]].

[36] S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris and G. G. Ross, *Family symmetries in F-theory GUTs*, Nucl. Phys. B **838** (2010) 119 [arXiv:1005.1025 [hep-ph]].

[37] G. K. Leontaris, *Aspects of F-Theory GUTs*, PoS CORFU **2011** (2011) 095 [arXiv:1203.6277 [hep-th]].

[38] J. Marsano, *Hypercharge Flux, Exotics, and Anomaly Cancellation in F-theory GUTs*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 081601 [arXiv:1011.2212 [hep-th]].

[39] Wiliam Fulton, Joe Harris, *Young Tabeaux with Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry*, Springer-Verlag (1991).

[40] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, H. Okada, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, *Non-Abelian Discrete Symmetries in Particle Physics*, [arXiv:1003.3552 [hep-th]],

[41] R. Ahl Laamara, M. Miskaoui, E.H Saidi, *Building SO_{10} models with $\mathbb{D}_4$ symmetry*, [arXiv:1511.03166[hep-th]].

[42] El Hassan Saidi, *On Building superpotentials in F- GUTs*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. (2016) 013 B07, arXiv:1512.02530.

[43] G. K. Leontaris and G. G. Ross, *Yukawa couplings and fermion mass structure F-theory GUTs*, JHEP 02 (2011) 108 [arXiv:1009.6000 [hep-ph]].

[44] C. Ludeling, H. P. Nilles and C. C. Stephan, *The Potential Fate of Local Model Building*, [arXiv:1101.3346 [hep-ph]].

[45] J. J. Heckman, *Particle Physics Implications of F-theory*, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **60** (2010) 237 [arXiv:1001.0577 [hep-th]].

[46] T. W. Grimm, *The N=1 effective action of F-theory compactifications*, Nucl. Phys. B **845** (2011) 48 [arXiv:1008.4133 [hep-th]].

[47] C. M. Chen, J. Knapp, M. Kreuzer and C. Mayrhofer, *Global SO(10) F-theory GUTs*, JHEP 1010 (2010)057 [arXiv:1005.5735[hep-th]].

[48] J. C. Callaghan and S. F. King, *E6 Models from F-theory,* JHEP **1304** (2013) 034 [arXiv:1210.6913 [hep-ph]].

[49] R. Tatar and W. Walters, *GUT theories from Calabi-Yau 4-folds with SO(10) Singularities*, JHEP **1212** (2012) 092 [arXiv:1206.5090 [hep-th]].

[50] J. C. Callaghan, S. F. King and G. K. Leontaris, *Gauge coupling unification in E6 F-theory GUTs with matter and bulk exotics from flux breaking*, JHEP **1312** (2013) 037 [arXiv:1307.4593 [hep-ph]].

[51] El Hassan Saidi, *Breaking discrete symmetries in F-GUT*, LPHE-MS -1511,

[52] Fredrik Björkeroth, Francisco J. de Anda, Ivo de Medeiros Varzielas, Stephen F. King, Journal-ref: JHEP 06 (2015) 141, arXiv:1503.03306,

[53] S. F. King, *Neutrino mass models*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **67** (2004) 107 [hep-ph/0310204].

[54] K. Abe et al. (T2K Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 041801 (2011), arXiv:1106.2822 [hep-ph]. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.041801

[55] F. P. An et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 171803 (2012), arXiv:1203.1669v2 [hep-ex]. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.171803

[56] J. K. Ahn et al. (RENO Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 191802 (2012), arXiv: 1204.0626v2 [hep-ex]. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.191802

[57] S. Boudjemaa and S.F.King, *Deviations from Tri-bimaximal Mixing: Charged Lepton Corrections and Renormalization Group Running*, [arXiv:0808.2782v3 [hep-th]],

[58] J. Marsano, N. Saulina and Sakura Schafer Nameki, "*Compact F-theory GUTs with* $U(1)_{PQ}$," [arXiv:0912.0272v2 [hep-th]].

[59] J. Marsano, N. Saulina and Sakura Schafer Nameki, "*F-theory Compactifications for Supersymmetric GUTs*," [arXiv:0904.3932v3 [hep-th]],

[60] S. Krippendorf, D. K. M. Penaa, P. K. Oehlmanna and F. Ruehle, "*Rational F-theory GUTs without exotics*," [arXiv:1401.5084v1 [hep-th]],

[61] T. W. Grimm and H. Hayashi, "*F-theory fluxes, Chirality and Chern-Simons theories*," [arXiv:1111.1232v2 [hep-th]],

[62] E. Dudas and E. Palti, "*Froggatt-Nielsen models from E(8) in F-theory GUTs*," [arXiv:0912.0853 [hep-th]],

[63] M. Cvetic, T. W. Grimm and D. Klevers, "*Anomaly Cancellation And Abelian Gauge Symmetries In F-theory*," [arXiv:1210.6034v2 [hep-th]].

[64] R. N. Mohapatra, *Neutrino mass and Grand Unification of flavor*, [arXiv:1007.1633 [hep-ph]].

[65] K. S. Babu and S. M. Barr, *Natural Gauge Hierarchy in SO(10)*, [arXiv:9402291v1 [hep-ph]].

[66] Z. Berezhiani, M. Chianese, G. Mielec and S. Morisi, *Chances for SUSY-GUT in the LHC Epoch*, [arXiv:1505.04950 [hep-ph]].